ca — Simple correspondence analysis						
Description	Quick start	Menu	Syntax	Options		
Remarks and examples	Stored results	Methods and formulas	References	Also see		

Description

ca performs a simple correspondence analysis (CA) and optionally creates a biplot of two categorical variables or multiple crossed variables. camat is similar to ca but is for use with a matrix containing cross-tabulations or other nonnegative values with strictly positive margins.

Quick start

Simple correspondence analysis of two categorical variables

 $Correspondence\ analysis\ of\ the\ cross-tabulation\ with\ rows\ {\tt catvar1}\ and\ columns\ {\tt catvar2}$

ca catvar1 catvar2

Also produce the correspondence analysis biplot

ca catvar1 catvar2, plot

Increase the number of dimensions from 2 to 3 ca catvar1 catvar2, dimensions(3)

Use row and column principal coordinate normalization ca catvar1 catvar2, normalize(principal)

Simple correspondence analysis with crossed (stacked) variables

Correspondence analysis of the cross-tabulation of rows catvar1 and crossed columns mycol from the crossed variables catvar2 and catvar3 ca catvar1 (mycol: catvar2 catvar3)

Same as above, but display compact tables and produce the correspondence analysis biplot ca catvar1 (mycol: catvar2 catvar3), compact plot

Simple correspondence analysis of a matrix

Correspondence analysis of the cross-tabulations contained in matrix ${\tt M}$ camat ${\tt M}$

Also produce the correspondence analysis biplot camat M, plot

Menu

са

 $Statistics > Multivariate \ analysis > Correspondence \ analysis > Two-way \ correspondence \ analysis \ (CA)$

camat

```
Statistics > Multivariate analysis > Correspondence analysis > Two-way correspondence analysis of a matrix
```

Syntax

Simple correspondence analysis of two categorical variables

```
ca rowvar colvar [if] [in] [weight] [, options]
```

Simple correspondence analysis with crossed (stacked) variables

```
ca row_spec col_spec [if] [in] [weight] [, options]
```

```
Simple correspondence analysis of an n_r \times n_c matrix
```

camat matname [, options]

```
where spec = varname | (newvar : varlist)
```

```
and matname is an n_r \times n_c matrix with n_r, n_c \ge 2.
```

options	Description
Model 2	
<pre>dimensions(#)</pre>	number of dimensions (factors, axes); default is dim(2)
<pre>normalize(nopts)</pre>	normalization of row and column coordinates
<u>rows</u> upp($matname_r$)	matrix of supplementary rows
<pre><u>colsupp(matname_c)</u></pre>	matrix of supplementary columns
<u>rown</u> ame(<i>string</i>)	label for rows
<u>coln</u> ame(<i>string</i>)	label for columns
<u>mis</u> sing	treat missing values as ordinary values (ca only)
Codes (ca only)	
<u>rep</u> ort(<u>v</u> ariables)	report coding of crossing variables
<u>rep</u> ort(<u>c</u> rossed)	report coding of crossed variables
report(<u>a</u> ll)	report coding of crossing and crossed variables
<u>len</u> gth(<u>m</u> in)	use minimal length unique codes of crossing variables
<u>length(#)</u>	use # as coding length of crossing variables
Reporting	
<pre>ddimensions(#)</pre>	number of singular values to be displayed; default is ddim(.)
norowpoints	suppress table with row category statistics
nocolpoints	suppress table with column category statistics
compact	display tables in a compact format
plot	plot the row and column coordinates
<pre>maxlength(#)</pre>	maximum number of characters for labels; default is maxlength(12)

nopts	Description	
symmetric	symmetric coordinates (canonical); the default	
<u>st</u> andard	row and column standard coordinates	
row	row principal, column standard coordinates	
<u>co</u> lumn	column principal, row standard coordinates	
principal	row and column principal coordinates	
#	power $0 \le \# \le 1$ for row coordinates; seldom used	

bayesboot, bootstrap, by, collect, jackknife, rolling, and statsby are allowed with ca; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands. However, bootstrap and jackknife results should be interpreted with caution; identification of the ca parameters involves data-dependent restrictions, possibly leading to badly biased and overdispersed estimates (Milan and Whittaker 1995).

Weights are not allowed with the bootstrap prefix; see [R] bootstrap.

aweights are not allowed with the jackknife prefix; see [R] jackknife.

fweights, aweights, and iweights are allowed with ca; see [U] 11.1.6 weight.

See [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands for more capabilities of estimation commands.

Options

Model 2

dimensions(#) specifies the number of dimensions (= factors = axes) to be extracted. The default
is dimensions(2). If you specify dimensions(1), the row and column categories are placed on
one dimension. # should be strictly smaller than the number of rows and the number of columns,
counting only the active rows and columns, excluding supplementary rows and columns (see options
rowsupp() and colsupp()).

CA is a hierarchical method, so extracting more dimensions does not affect the coordinates and decomposition of inertia of dimensions already included. The percentages of inertia accounting for the dimensions are in decreasing order as indicated by singular values. The first dimension accounts for the most inertia, followed by the second dimension, and then the third dimension, etc.

- normalize (*nopts*) specifies the normalization method, that is, how the row and column coordinates are obtained from the singular vectors and singular values of the matrix of standardized residuals. See *Normalization and interpretation of correspondence analysis* in *Remarks and examples* for a discussion of these different normalization methods.
 - symmetric, the default, distributes the inertia equally over rows and columns, treating the rows and columns symmetrically. The symmetric normalization is also known as the standard, or canonical, normalization. This is the most common normalization when making a biplot. normalize(symmetric) is equivalent to normalize(0.5). <u>canonical</u> is a synonym for symmetric.
 - standard specifies that row and column coordinates should be in standard form (singular vectors divided by the square root of mass). This normalization method is not equivalent to normalize(#) for any #.
 - row specifies principal row coordinates and standard column coordinates. This option should be chosen if you want to compare row categories. Similarity of column categories should not be interpreted. The biplot interpretation of the relationship between row and column categories is appropriate. normalize(row) is equivalent to normalize(1).
 - column specifies principal column coordinates and standard row coordinates. This option should be chosen if you want to compare column categories. Similarity of row categories should not be interpreted. The biplot interpretation of the relationship between row and column categories is appropriate. normalize(column) is equivalent to normalize(0).
 - principal is the normalization to choose if you want to make comparisons among the row categories and among the column categories. In this normalization, comparing row and column points is not appropriate. Thus a biplot in this normalization is best avoided. In the principal normalization, the row and column coordinates are obtained from the left and right singular vectors, multiplied by the singular values. This normalization method is not equivalent to normalize (#) for any #.
 - #, $0 \le \# \le 1$, is seldom used; it specifies that the row coordinates are obtained as the left singular vectors multiplied by the singular values to the power #, whereas the column coordinates equal the right singular vectors multiplied by the singular values to the power 1 #.
- rowsupp $(matname_r)$ specifies a matrix of supplementary rows. $matname_r$ should have n_c columns. The row names of $matname_r$ are used for labeling. Supplementary rows do not affect the computation of the dimensions and the decomposition of inertia. They are, however, included in the plots and in the table with statistics of the row points. Because supplementary points do not contribute to the dimensions, their entries under the column labeled contrib are left blank.

- $colsupp(matname_c)$ specifies a matrix of supplementary columns. $matname_c$ should have n_r rows. The column names of $matname_c$ are used for labeling. Supplementary columns do not affect the computation of the dimensions and the decomposition of inertia. They are, however, included in the plots and in the table with statistics of the column points. Because supplementary points do not contribute to the dimensions, their entries under the column labeled contrib are left blank.
- rowname(*string*) specifies a label to refer to the rows of the matrix. The default is rowname(rowvar) for ca and rowname(rows) for camat.
- colname(*string*) specifies a label to refer to the columns of the matrix. The default is colname(colvar) for ca and colname(columns) for camat.
- missing, allowed only with ca, treats missing values of *rowvar* and *colvar* as ordinary categories to be included in the analysis. Observations with missing values are omitted from the analysis by default.

Codes

- report(*opt*) displays coding information for the crossing variables, crossed variables, or both. report() is ignored if you do not specify at least one crossed variable.
 - report(variables) displays the coding schemes of the crossing variables, that is, the variables used to define the crossed variables.

report(crossed) displays a table explaining the value labels of the crossed variables.

- report(all) displays the codings of the crossing and crossed variables.
- length(opt) specifies the coding length of crossing variables.
 - length(min) specifies that the minimal-length unique codes of crossing variables be used.
 - length(#) specifies that the coding length # of crossing variables be used, where # must be between
 4 and 32.

Reporting

- ddimensions (#) specifies the number of singular values to be displayed. The default is ddimensions (.), meaning all.
- norowpoints suppresses the table with row point (category) statistics.

nocolpoints suppresses the table with column point (category) statistics.

- compact specifies that the table with point statistics be displayed multiplied by 1,000 as proposed by Greenacre (2017), enabling the display of more columns without wrapping output. The compact tables can be displayed without wrapping for models with two dimensions at line size 79 and with three dimensions at line size 99.
- plot displays a plot of the row and column coordinates in two dimensions. With row principal normalization, only the row points are plotted. With column principal normalization, only the column points are plotted. In the other normalizations, both row and column points are plotted. You can use cabiplot directly if you need another selection of points to be plotted or if you want to otherwise refine the plot; see [MV] ca postestimation plots.
- maxlength(#) specifies the maximum number of characters for row and column labels in plots. The default is maxlength(12).

Note: The reporting options may be specified during estimation or replay.

Remarks and examples

Remarks are presented under the following headings:

Introduction A first example How many dimensions? Statistics on the points Normalization and interpretation of correspondence analysis Plotting the points Supplementary points Matrix input Crossed variables

Introduction

Correspondence analysis (CA) offers a geometric representation of the rows and columns of a two-way frequency table that is helpful in understanding the similarities between the categories of variables and the association between the variables. CA is formally equivalent to various other geometric approaches, including dual scaling, reciprocal averaging, and canonical correlation analysis of contingency tables (Greenacre 1984, chap. 4). For an informal introduction to CA and related metric approaches, see Weller and Romney (1990). Greenacre (2017) provides a much more thorough introduction with few mathematical prerequisites. More advanced treatments are given by Greenacre (1984) and Gower and Hand (1996).

In some respects, CA can be thought of as an analogue to principal components for nominal variables. It is also possible to interpret CA in reciprocal averaging (Greenacre 1984, 96–102; Cox and Cox 2001, 193–200), in optimal scaling (Greenacre 1984, 102–108), and in canonical correlations (Greenacre 1984, 108–116; Gower and Hand 1996, 183–185). Scaling refers to the assignment of scores to the categories of the row and column variables. Different criteria for the assignment of scores have been proposed, generally with different solutions. If the aim is to maximize the correlation between the scored row and column, the problem can be formulated in terms of CA. The optimal scores are the coordinates on the first dimension. The coordinates on the second and subsequent dimensions maximize the correlation between row and column scores subject to orthogonality constraints. See also [MV] ca postestimation.

A first example

Example 1: A well-known correspondence analysis example

We illustrate CA with an example of smoking behavior by different ranks of personnel. This example is often used in the CA literature (for example, Greenacre 1984, 55; Greenacre 2017, 66), so you have probably encountered these (artificial) data before. By using these familiar data, we make it easier to relate the literature on CA to the output of the ca command.

. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/ca_smoking

		Smoking	intensity		
Rank	None	Light	Medium	Heavy	Total
Senior_mngr	4	2	3	2	11
Junior_mngr	4	3	7	4	18
Senior_empl	25	10	12	4	51
Junior_empl	18	24	33	13	88
Secretary	10	6	7	2	25
Total	61	45	62	25	193

. tabulate rank smoking

ca displays the results of a CA on two categorical variables in a multipanel format.

. ca	rank smoking	g				
Corr	espondence ar	nalysis		Number of c	bs =	193
	•			Pearson chi	2(12) =	16.44
				Prob > chi2	: =	0.1718
				Total inert	ia =	0.0852
	5 active rows	5		Number of d	im. =	- 2
	4 active colu	umns		Expl. inert	ia (%) =	99.51
		Singular	Principal			Cumul.
	Dimension	value	inertia	chi2	Percent	percent
	Dim 1	.2734211	.0747591	14.43	87.76	87.76
	Dim 2	.1000859	.0100172	1.93	11.76	99.51
	Dim 3	.0203365	.0004136	0.08	0.49	100.00
	Total		.0851899	16.44	100)

Statistics for row and column categories in symmetric normalization

		Overall		D:	imension_	1
Categories	Mass	Quality	%inert	Coord	Sqcorr	Contrib
rank						
Senior mngr	0.057	0.893	0.031	0.126	0.092	0.003
Junior mngr	0.093	0.991	0.139	-0.495	0.526	0.084
Senior empl	0.264	1.000	0.450	0.728	0.999	0.512
Junior empl	0.456	1.000	0.308	-0.446	0.942	0.331
Secretary	0.130	0.999	0.071	0.385	0.865	0.070
smoking						
None	0.316	1.000	0.577	0.752	0.994	0.654
Light	0.233	0.984	0.083	-0.190	0.327	0.031
Medium	0.321	0.983	0.148	-0.375	0.982	0.166
Heavy	0.130	0.995	0.192	-0.562	0.684	0.150

4

	D:	imension_	2
Categories	Coord	Sqcorr	Contrib
rank			
Senior mngr	0.612	0.800	0.214
Junior mngr	0.769	0.465	0.551
Senior empl	0.034	0.001	0.003
Junior empl	-0.183	0.058	0.152
Secretary	-0.249	0.133	0.081
smoking			
None	0.096	0.006	0.029
Light	-0.446	0.657	0.463
Medium	-0.023	0.001	0.002
Heavy	0.625	0.310	0.506

The order in which we specify the variables is mostly immaterial. The first variable (rank) is also called the row variable, and the second (smoking) is the column variable. This ordering is important only as far as the interpretation of some options and some labeling of output are concerned. For instance, the option norowpoints suppresses the table with row points, that is, the categories of rank. ca requires two integer-valued variables. The rankings of the categories and the actual values used to code categories are not important. Thus, rank may be coded 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, or 0, 1, 4, 9, 16, or -2, -1, 0, 1, 2; it does not matter. We do suggest assigning value labels to the variables to improve the interpretability of tables and plots.

Correspondence analysis seeks to offer a low-dimensional representation describing how the row and column categories contribute to the inertia in a table. ca reports Pearson's test of independence, just like tabulate with the chi2 option. Inertia is Pearson's χ^2 statistic divided by the sample size, 16.44/193 = 0.0852. Pearson's χ^2 test has significance level p = 0.1718, casting doubt on any association between rows and columns. Still, given the prominence of this example in the CA literature, we will continue.

The first panel produced by ca displays the decomposition of total inertia in orthogonal dimensions—analogous to the decomposition of the total variance in principal component analysis (see [MV] pca). The first dimension accounts for 87.76% of the inertia; the second dimension accounts for 11.76% of the inertia. Because the dimensions are orthogonal, we may add the contributions of the two dimensions and say that the two leading dimensions account for 87.76% + 11.76% = 99.52% of the total inertia. A two-dimensional representation seems in order. The remaining output is discussed later.

How many dimensions?

Example 2: Specifying the number of dimensions

In the first example with the smoking data, we displayed coordinates and statistics for a twodimensional approximation of the rows and columns. This is the default. We can specify more or fewer dimensions with the option dimensions(). The maximum number is $\min(n_r - 1, n_c - 1)$. At this maximum, the χ^2 distances between the rows and columns are exactly represented by CA; 100% of the inertia is accounted for. This is called the saturated model; the fitted values of the CA model equal the observed correspondence table. The minimum number of dimensions is one; the model with zero dimensions would be a model of independence of the rows and columns. With one dimension, the rows and columns of the table are identified by points on a line, with distance on the line approximating the χ^2 distance in the table, and a biplot is no longer feasible.

. ca	rank smoking	g, dim(1)				
Corre	spondence ar	nalysis		Number of o	bs =	193
	•			Pearson chi	2(12) =	16.44
				Prob > chi2	=	0.1718
				Total inert	ia =	0.0852
5	active rows	3		Number of d	im. =	1
4	active colu	umns		Expl. inert	ia (%) =	87.76
		Singular	Principal			Cumul.
	Dimension	value	inertia	chi2	Percent	percent
_	Dim 1	.2734211	.0747591	14.43	87.76	87.76
	Dim 2	.1000859	.0100172	1.93	11.76	99.51
	Dim 3	.0203365	.0004136	0.08	0.49	100.00
_	Total		.0851899	16.44	100	

Statistics for row and column categories in symmetric normalization

		Overall		D:	imension_	1
Categories	Mass	Quality	%inert	Coord	Sqcorr	Contrib
rank						
Senior mngr	0.057	0.092	0.031	0.126	0.092	0.003
Junior mngr	0.093	0.526	0.139	-0.495	0.526	0.084
Senior empl	0.264	0.999	0.450	0.728	0.999	0.512
Junior empl	0.456	0.942	0.308	-0.446	0.942	0.331
Secretary	0.130	0.865	0.071	0.385	0.865	0.070
smoking						
None	0.316	0.994	0.577	0.752	0.994	0.654
Light	0.233	0.327	0.083	-0.190	0.327	0.031
Medium	0.321	0.982	0.148	-0.375	0.982	0.166
Heavy	0.130	0.684	0.192	-0.562	0.684	0.150

The first panel produced by ca does not depend on the number of dimensions extracted; thus, we will always see all singular values and the percentage of inertia explained by the associated dimensions. In the second panel, the only thing that depends on the number of dimensions is the overall quality of the approximation. The overall quality is the sum of the quality scores on the extracted dimensions and so increases with the number of extracted dimensions. The higher the quality, the better the χ^2 distances with other rows (columns) are represented by the extracted number of dimensions. In a saturated model, the overall quality is 1 for each row and column category.

So, how many dimensions should we retain? It is common for researchers to extract the minimum number of dimensions in a CA to explain at least 90% of the inertia, analogous to similar heuristic rules on the number of components in principal component analysis. We could probably also search for a scree, the number of dimensions where the singular values flatten out (see [MV] screeplot). A screeplot of the singular values can be obtained by typing

```
. screeplot e(Sv)
```

where e(Sv) is the name where ca has stored the singular values.

Statistics on the points

Example 3: A more compact table of row and column statistics

We now turn our attention to the second panel. The overall section of the panel lists the following statistics:

- The mass of the category, that is, the proportion in the marginal distribution. The masses of all categories of a variable add up to 1.
- The quality of the approximation for a category, expressed as a number between 0 (very bad) and 1 (perfect). In a saturated model, quality is 1.
- The percentage of inertia contained in the category. Categories are divided through by the total inertia; the inertias of the categories of a variable add up to 100%.

For each of the dimensions, the panel lists the following:

- The coordinate of the category.
- The squared residuals between the profile and the categories. The sum of the squared residuals over the dimensions adds up to the quality of the approximation for the category.
- The contribution made by the categories to the dimensions. These add up to 1 over all categories of a variable.

The table with point statistics becomes pretty large, especially with more than two dimensions. ca can also list the second panel in a more compact form, saving space by multiplying all entries by 1,000; see Greenacre (2017).

. ca rank smoking	g, dim(2) comj	pact			
Correspondence an	Number of o	bs =	193		
-	·		Pearson chi	2(12) =	16.44
			Prob > chi2	=	0.1718
			Total inert	ia =	0.0852
5 active rows	3		Number of d	im. =	2
4 active colu	umns		Expl. inert	ia (%) =	99.51
	Singular	Principal			Cumul.
Dimension	value	inertia	chi2	Percent	percent
Dim 1	.2734211	.0747591	14.43	87.76	87.76
Dim 2	.1000859	.0100172	1.93	11.76	99.51
Dim 3	.0203365	.0004136	0.08	0.49	100.00
Total		.0851899	16.44	100	

	0	verall		Dim	ension	1	Dime	ension	2 —
Categories	Mass	Qualt	%inert	Coord a	Sqcor	Contr	Coord S	Sqcor	Contr
rank									
Senior mngr	57	893	31	126	92	3	612	800	214
Junior mngr	93	991	139	-495	526	84	769	465	551
Senior empl	264	1000	450	728	999	512	34	1	3
Junior empl	456	1000	308	-446	942	331	-183	58	152
Secretary	130	999	71	385	865	70	-249	133	81
smoking									
None	316	1000	577	752	994	654	96	6	29
Light	233	984	83	-190	327	31	-446	657	463
Medium	321	983	148	-375	982	166	-23	1	2
Heavy	130	995	192	-562	684	150	625	310	506

Statistics for row and column categories in symmetric norm. (x 1000)

Normalization and interpretation of correspondence analysis

The normalization method used in CA determines whether and how the similarity of the row categories, the similarity of the column categories, and the relationship (association) between the row and column variables can be interpreted in terms of the row and column coordinates and the origin of the plot.

How does one compare row points—provided that the normalization method allows such a comparison? Formally, the Euclidean distance between the row points approximates the χ^2 distances between the corresponding row profiles. Thus in the biplot, row categories mapped close together have similar row profiles; that is, the distributions on the column variable are similar. Row categories mapped widely apart have dissimilar row profiles. Moreover, the Euclidean distance between a row point and the origin approximates the χ^2 distance from the row profile and the row centroid, so it indicates how different a category is from the population.

An analogous interpretation applies to column points.

For the association between the row and column variables: in the CA biplot, you should not interpret the distance between a row point r and a column point c as the relationship of r and c. Instead, think in terms of the vectors origin to r (OR) and origin to c (OC). Remember that CA decomposes scaled deviations d(r, c) from independence and d(r, c) is approximated by the inner product of OR and OC. The larger the absolute value of d(r, c), the stronger the association between r and c. In geometric terms, d(r, c) can be written as the product of the length of OR, the length of OC, and the cosine of the angle between OR and OC.

What does this mean? First, consider the effects of the angle. The association in (r, c) is strongly positive if OR and OC point in roughly the same direction; the frequency of (r, c) is much higher than expected under independence, so r tends to flock together with c—if the points r and c are close together. Similarly, the association is strongly negative if OR and OC point in opposite directions. Here the frequency of (r, c) is much lower than expected under independence, so r and c are unlikely to occur simultaneously. Finally, if OR and OC are roughly orthogonal (angle = ± 90), the deviation from independence is small.

Second, the association of r and c increases with the lengths of OR and OC. Points far from the origin tend to have large associations. If a category is mapped close to the origin, all its associations with categories of the other variable are small: its distribution resembles the marginal distribution.

1

Normalization	Similarity	Similarity	Association
method	row cat.	column cat.	row vs. column
symmetric	No	No	Yes
principal	Yes	Yes	No
row	Yes	No	Yes
column	No	Yes	Yes

Here are the interpretations enabled by the main normalization methods as specified in the normalize() option.

If we say that a comparison between row categories or between column categories is not possible, we really mean that the χ^2 distance between row profiles or column profiles is actually approximated by a weighted Euclidean distance between the respective plots in which the weights depend on the inertia of the dimensions rather than on the standard Euclidean distance.

You may want to do a CA in principal normalization to study the relationship between the categories of a variable and do a CA in symmetric normalization to study the association of the row and column categories.

Plotting the points

Example 4: A correspondence biplot

In our discussion of normalizations, we stated that CA offers simple geometric interpretations to the similarity of categories and the association of the variables. We may specify the option plot with ca during estimation or during replay.

. ca	, norowpoints	s nocolpoints	plot			
Corr	espondence ar	nalysis	Number of c	bs =	193	
	-	·		Pearson chi	2(12) =	16.44
				Prob > chi2	=	0.1718
				Total inert	ia =	0.0852
	5 active rows	3	Number of d	im. =	2	
4 active columns				Expl. inert	ia (%) =	99.51
		Singular	Principal			Cumul.
	Dimension	value	inertia	chi2	Percent	percent
	Dim 1	.2734211	.0747591	14.43	87.76	87.76
	Dim 2	.1000859	.0100172	1.93	11.76	99.51
	Dim 3	.0203365	.0004136	0.08	0.49	100.00
	Total		.0851899	16.44	100	



Correspondence analysis biplot

The options norowpoints and nocolpoints suppress the large tables of statistics for the rows and columns. If we did not request the plot during estimation, we can still obtain it with the cabiplot postestimation command. Unlike requesting the plot at estimation time, cabiplot allows us to fine-tune the plot; see [MV] capostestimation plots.

The horizontal dimension seems to distinguish smokers from nonsmokers, whereas the vertical dimensions can be interpreted as intensity of smoking. Because the orientations from the origin to None and from the origin to Senior_empl are so close, we conclude that senior employees tend not to smoke. Similarly, junior managers tend to be heavy smokers, and junior employees tend to be medium smokers.

4

Supplementary points

A useful feature of CA is the ability to locate supplementary rows and columns in the space generated by the "active" rows and columns (see Greenacre [1984, 70–74]; Greenacre [2017, chap. 12], for an extensive discussion). Think of supplementary rows and columns as having mass 0; therefore, supplementary points do not influence the approximating space—their contribution values are zero.

Example 5: Supplementary rows and columns

In our example, we want to include the national distribution of smoking intensity as a supplementary row.

ca requires that we define the supplementary row distributions as rows of a matrix. In this example, we have only one supplementary row, with the percentages of the smoking categories in a national sample. The matrix should have one row per supplementary row category and as many columns as there are active columns. We define the row name to obtain appropriately labeled output.

```
. matrix S_row = (42, 29, 20, 9)
. matrix rowname S_row = National
```

Before we show the CA analysis with the supplementary row, we also include two supplementary columns for the rank distribution of alcoholic beverage drinkers and nondrinkers. It will be interesting to see where smoking is located relative to drinking and nondrinking.

. matrix S_col = (0, 11 \setminus > 1, 19 \ > 5,44 \ > 10, 78 \ > 7, 18) . matrix colnames S_col = Nondrink Drink

We now invoke ca, specifying the names of the matrices with supplementary rows and columns with the options rowsupp() and colsupp().

. ca rank smoking	g, rowsupp(S_ro	ow) colsupp	(S_col) plot		
Correspondence an	nalysis		Number of obs	=	193
-	•		Pearson chi2(12)	=	16.44
			Prob > chi2	=	0.1718
			Total inertia	=	0.0852
5 active + 1	supplementary	rows	Number of dim.	=	2
4 active + 2	supplementary	columns	Expl. inertia (%) =	99.51
	Singular	Principal			Cumul.
Dimension	value	inertia	chi2 Per	cent	percent
Dim 1	.2734211	.0747591	14.43 8	7.76	87.76
Dim 2	.1000859	.0100172	1.93 1	1.76	99.51
Dim 3	.0203365	.0004136	0.08	0.49	100.00
Total		.0851899	16.44	100	

Statistics for row and column categories in symmetric normalization

		Overall		D:	1	
Categories	Mass	Quality	%inert	Coord	Sqcorr	Contrib
rank						
Senior mngr	0.057	0.893	0.031	0.126	0.092	0.003
Junior mngr	0.093	0.991	0.139	-0.495	0.526	0.084
Senior empl	0.264	1.000	0.450	0.728	0.999	0.512
Junior empl	0.456	1.000	0.308	-0.446	0.942	0.331
Secretary	0.130	0.999	0.071	0.385	0.865	0.070
suppl rows						
National	0.518	0.761	0.644	0.494	0.631	
smoking						
None	0.316	1.000	0.577	0.752	0.994	0.654
Light	0.233	0.984	0.083	-0.190	0.327	0.031
Medium	0.321	0.983	0.148	-0.375	0.982	0.166
Heavy	0.130	0.995	0.192	-0.562	0.684	0.150
suppl cols						
Nondrink	0.119	0.439	0.460	0.220	0.040	
Drink	0.881	0.838	0.095	-0.082	0.202	

	Dimension_2				
Categories	Coord	Sqcorr	Contrib		
rank					
Senior mngr	0.612	0.800	0.214		
Junior mngr	0.769	0.465	0.551		
Senior empl	0.034	0.001	0.003		
Junior empl	-0.183	0.058	0.152		
Secretary	-0.249	0.133	0.081		
suppl_rows National	-0.372	0.131			
smoking					
None	0.096	0.006	0.029		
Light	-0.446	0.657	0.463		
Medium	-0.023	0.001	0.002		
Heavy	0.625	0.310	0.506		
suppl_cols					
Nondrink	-1.144	0.398			
Drink	0.241	0.636			

Correspondence analysis biplot



The first panel and the information about the five active rows and the four active columns have not changed—the approximating space is fully determined by the active rows and columns and is independent of the location of the supplementary rows and columns.

The table with statistics for the row and column categories now also contains entries for the supplementary rows and columns. The contrib entries for the supplementary points are blank. Supplementary points do not "contribute to" the location of the dimensions—their contribution is 0.000, but displaying blanks makes the point more clearly. All other columns for the supplementary points are informative. The inertia of supplementary points is the χ^2 distance to the respective centroid. The coordinates of supplementary points are obtained by applying the transition equations of the CA. Correlations of the supplementary profiles with the dimensions are also well defined. Finally, we may consider the quality of the two-dimensional approximation for the supplementary points. These are lower than for the active points, which will be the case in most applications—the active points exercise influence on the dimensions to improve their quality, whereas the supplementary points simply have to accept the dimensions as determined by the active points. If we look at the biplot, the supplementary points are shown along with the active points. We may interpret the supplementary points just like the active points. Secretaries are close to the national sample in terms of smoking. Drinking alcohol is closer to the smoking categories than to nonsmoking, indicating that alcohol consumption and smoking are similar behaviors—but concluding that the *same* people smoke and drink is not possible because we do not have three-way data.

4

Matrix input

Example 6: Correspondence analysis of a frequency table

If we want to do a CA of a published two-way frequency table, we typically do not have immediate access to the data in the form of a dataset. We could enter the data with frequency weights.

```
. input rank smoking freq
 1.
           1
                    1
                          4
                         2
 2.
           1
                    2
 З.
                    3
                         3
           1
 (output omitted)
19.
           5
                    3
                         7
20.
           5
                    4
                          2
21.
      end
. label define vl rank 1 "Senior mngr" ...
. label value rank vl rank
. label define vl smoke 1 "None" ...
. label value smoke vl smoke
. ca rank smoking [fw=freq]
 (output omitted)
```

Or we may enter the data as a matrix and use camat. First, we enter the frequency matrix with proper column and row names and then list the matrix for verification.

```
. matrix F = (4,2,3,2 \ 4,3,7,4 \ 25,10,12,4 \ 18,24,33,13 \ 10,6,7,2)
```

```
. matrix colnames F = None Light Medium Heavy
```

```
. matrix rownames F = Senior_mngr Junior_mngr Senior_empl Junior_empl Secretary
```

```
. matlist F, border
```

	None	Light	Medium	Heavy
Senior_mngr	4	2	3	2
Junior_mngr	4	3	7	4
Senior_empl	25	10	12	4
Junior_empl	18	24	33	13
Secretary	10	6	7	2

We can use camat on F to obtain the same results as from the raw data. We use the compact option for a more compact table.

. camat	t F, compac	t				
Corres	pondence ar	nalysis		Number of o	bs =	193
-		•		Pearson chi	2(12) =	16.44
				Prob > chi2	=	0.1718
				Total inert	ia =	0.0852
5 a	active rows	5		Number of d	im. =	2
4 active columns				Expl. inert	ia (%) =	99.51
		Singular	Principal			Cumul.
I	Dimension	value	inertia	chi2	Percent	percent
	Dim 1	.2734211	.0747591	14.43	87.76	87.76
	Dim 2	.1000859	.0100172	1.93	11.76	99.51
	Dim 3	.0203365	.0004136	0.08	0.49	100.00
	Total		.0851899	16.44	100	

Statistics for row and column categories in symmetric norm. (x 1000)

Categories	Overall Overall Mass Qualt %inert _ C		Dim Coord	— Dimension 1 — Coord Sqcor Contr		Dim Coord	ension Sqcor	2 Contr	
rows Senior mngr Junior mngr	57 93	893 991	31 139	126 -495	92 526	3 84	612 769	800 465	214 551
Senior empl Junior empl Secretary	264 456 130	1000 1000 999	450 308 71	728 -446 385	999 942 865	512 331 70	34 -183 -249	1 58 133	3 152 81
columns None Light Medium Heavy	316 233 321 130	1000 984 983 995	577 83 148 192	752 -190 -375 -562	994 327 982 684	654 31 166 150	96 -446 -23 625	6 657 1 310	29 463 2 506

Example 7: Correspondence analysis of nonfrequency data

The command camat may also be used for a CA of nonfrequency data. The data should be nonnegative, with strictly positive margins. An example are the compositional data on the distribution of government R&D funds over 11 areas in five European countries in 1989; the data are listed in Greenacre (1993, 82). The expenditures are scaled to 1,000 within country, to focus the analysis on the intranational distribution policies. Moreover, with absolute expenditures, small countries, such as The Netherlands, would have been negligible in the analysis.

We enter the data as a Stata matrix. The command matrix input allows us to input row entries separated by blanks, rather than by commas; rows are separated by the backward slash $(\)$.

•	matrix	: inp	out H	RandI) = 0	(
>	18	19	14	14	6	١
>	12	34	4	15	31	١
>	44	33	36	58	25	١
>	37	88	67	101	40	١
>	42	20	36	28	43	١
>	90	156	107	224	176	١
>	28	50	59	88	28	١
>	165	299	120	303	407	١
>	48	128	147	62	103	١
>	484	127	342	70	28	١
>	32	46	68	37	113)

4

```
. matrix colnames RandD = Britain West Germany France Italy Netherlands
 matrix rownames RandD = Earth_exploration Pollution Human_health
                          Energy Agriculture Industry Space University
>
>
                          Nonoriented Defense Other
```

We perform a CA, suppressing the voluminous row- and column-point statistics. We want to show a biplot, and therefore we select symmetric normalization.

. с > n	amat RandD, di ocolpoints plo	um(2) norm(syn ot	nm) rowname(So	urce) colname(Country)	norowpoints	
Cor	respondence an	nalysis		Number of o	bs =	5,000	
	•			Pearson chi	2(40) =	1321.55	
				Prob > chi2	=	0.0000	
				Total inert	ia =	0.2643	
	11 active rou	IS		Number of d	im. =	2	
5 active columns				Expl. inertia (%) =		= 89.08	
		Singular	Principal			Cumul.	
	Dimension	value	inertia	chi2	Percent	percent	
	Dim 1	.448735	.2013631	1006.82	76.18	76.18	
	Dim 2	.1846219	.0340852	170.43	12.90	89.08	
	Dim 3	.1448003	.0209671	104.84	7.93	97.01	
	Dim 4	.0888532	.0078949	39.47	2.99	100.00	
	Total		.2643103	1321.55	100)	





The two dimensions account for 89% of the inertia in this example, justifying an interpretation of the biplot. Let us focus on the position of The Netherlands. The orientation of The Netherlands from the origin is in the same direction as the orientation of pollution and university from the origin, indicating that The Netherlands spends more on academic research and on research to reduce environmental pollution than the average country. Earth exploration and human health are in the opposite direction, indicating investments much lower than average in these areas. Industry and agriculture are approximately orthogonal to the orientation of The Netherlands, indicating average investments by The Netherlands in these areas. Britain and France have big military investments, whereas Germany and Italy have more of an industrial orientation.

Technical note

The interpretation of the biplot is not fully in line with what we easily see in the row and column profiles—surprisingly, Greenacre does not seem to feel the need to comment on this. Why is this the case? The clue is in the statistics we did not show. Although the two dimensions account for 90% of the total inertia, this does not mean that all rows and columns are approximated to this extent. There are some row and column categories that are not well described in two dimensions. For instance, the quality of the Source categories Nonoriented, Agriculture, and Earth_exploration are only 0.063, 0.545, and 0.584, respectively, indicating that these rows are poorly represented in a two-dimensional space. The quality of West_Germany is also rather low at 0.577. Adding a third dimension improves the quality of the categories—the squared correlations between the third dimension and the profiles of the row and column categories—these correlations are small for all categories but Nonoriented. Thus, Nonoriented does not seem to really belong with the other categories and should probably be omitted from the analysis.

Crossed variables

ca can include interactions between variables in the analysis; variables that contain interactions are called crossed or stacked variables, whereas the variables that make them up are the crossing or stacking variables.

Example 8: Correspondence analysis with crossed variables

We illustrate crossed variables with ca by using the ISSP (1993), which explores attitudes toward science and the environment. We are interested in whether responses to item A differ with education and gender. The item asks for a response to the statement "We believe too often in science, and not enough in feelings or faith," with a 1 indicating strong agreement and a 5 indicating strong disagreement. We are interested in how education and gender influence response. We cross the variables sex and edu into one demographic variable labeled Demo to explore this question.

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/issp93
(Selection from ISSP (1993))
. tabulate A edu
Too much science, not
    enough feelings &
                                    Education (6 categories)
                 faith
                          Primary i
                                      Primary c
                                                  Secondary
                                                              Secondary
                                                                                Total
                                   7
                                              59
                                                          29
                                                                                  119
       Agree strongly
                                                                      11
                                  15
                                             155
                                                          84
                                                                      27
                                                                                  322
                 Agree
                                   7
                                              84
                                                          65
                                                                      18
                                                                                  204
Neither agree nor dis
                                   8
              Disagree
                                              68
                                                          54
                                                                      26
                                                                                  178
    Disagree strongly
                                   1
                                              12
                                                          10
                                                                      12
                                                                                   48
                 Total
                                  38
                                             378
                                                         242
                                                                      94
                                                                                  871
```

Too much science, not enough feelings & faith	Educat categ Tertiary	Total	
Agree strongly	5	8	119
Agree	20	21	322
Neither agree nor dis	11	19	204
Disagree	8	14	178
Disagree strongly	5	8	48
Total	49	70	871

We notice immediately the long labels for variable A and on edu. We replace these labels with short labels that can be abbreviated, so that in our analysis we will easily be able to identify categories. We use the length (2) option to ca to ensure that labels from each of the crossing variables are restricted to two characters.

. label define response 1 "++" 2 "+" 3 "+/-" 4 "-" 5 "--"

- . label values A response
- . label define education 1 "-pri" 2 "pri" 3 "-sec" 4 "sec" 5 "-ter" 6 "ter"
- . label values edu education

. ca A (Demo: sex edu), norowpoints nocolpoints length(2) plot norm(symmetric)

Correspondence analysis

rrespondence analysis 5 active rows 12 active columns			Number of o Pearson chi Prob > chi2 Total inert Number of d Expl. inert	871 72.52 0.0043 0.0833 2 80.17	
Dimension	Singular value	Principal inertia	chi2	Percent	Cumul. percent
Dim 1 Dim 2 Dim 3 Dim 4	.2108455 .14932 .1009876 .0794696	.0444558 .0222965 .0101985 .0063154	38.72 19.42 8.88 5.50	53.39 26.78 12.25 7.58	53.39 80.17 92.42 100.00
Total		.0832662	72.52	100	



We see clearly that the responses of the males vary more widely than those of the females. Strong agreement with item A is most closely associated with females with little education, and strong disagreement is most closely associated with males with a secondary or tertiary education. Educated males are more closely associated with a negative response than educated females are, and females with little education are more closely associated with a positive response than males with little education are.

4

Stored results

Let r be the number of rows, c be the number of columns, and f be the number of retained dimensions. ca and camat store the following in e():

```
Scalars
                         number of observations
    e(N)
    e(f)
                         number of dimensions (factors, axes); maximum of \min(r-1, c-1)
                         total inertia = e(X2)/e(N)
    e(inertia)
                         inertia explained by e(f) dimensions
    e(pinertia)
                         \chi^2 statistic
    e(X2)
                         degrees of freedom (r-1)(c-1)
    e(X2_df)
    e(X2_p)
                         p-value for e(X2)
Macros
    e(cmd)
                         ca (even for camat)
                         command as typed
    e(cmdline)
    e(Rcrossvars)
                         row crossing variable names (ca only)
                         column crossing variable names (ca only)
    e(Ccrossvars)
    e(varlist)
                         the row and column variable names (ca only)
                         weight type (ca only)
    e(wtype)
    e(wexp)
                         weight expression (ca only)
                         title in estimation output
    e(title)
    e(ca_data)
                         variables or crossed
                         name for columns
    e(Cname)
                         name for rows
    e(Rname)
    e(norm)
                         normalization method
                         1 if the singular values are unique, 0 otherwise
    e(sv_unique)
    e(properties)
                         nob noV eigen
                         program used to implement estat
    e(estat_cmd)
    e(predict)
                         program used to implement predict
    e(marginsnotok)
                         predictions disallowed by margins
Matrices
    e(Ccoding)
                         column categories (1 \times c) (ca only)
                         row categories (1 \times r) (ca only)
    e(Rcoding)
                         column statistics (c \times 3(1+f))
    e(GSC)
    e(GSR)
                         row statistics (r \times 3(1+f))
                         normalized column coordinates (c \times f)
    e(TC)
                         normalized row coordinates (r \times f)
    e(TR)
                         singular values (1 \times f)
    e(Sv)
    e(C)
                         column coordinates (c \times f)
                         row coordinates (r \times f)
    e(R)
    e(c)
                         column mass (margin) (c \times 1)
    e(r)
                         row mass (margin) (r \times 1)
    e(P)
                         analyzed matrix (r \times c)
    e(GSC_supp)
                         supplementary column statistics
    e(GSR_supp)
                         supplementary row statistics
    e(PC_supp)
                         principal coordinates supplementary column points
    e(PR_supp)
                         principal coordinates supplementary row points
                         normalized coordinates supplementary column points
    e(TC_supp)
    e(TR_supp)
                         normalized coordinates supplementary row points
```

Functions e(sample) marks estimation sample (ca only)

Methods and formulas

Our presentation of simple CA follows that of Greenacre (1984, 83–125); see also Blasius and Greenacre (1994) and Rencher and Christensen (2012, 565–580). See Greenacre and Blasius (1994) for a concise presentation of CA from a computational perspective. Simple CA seeks a geometric representation of the rows and column of a (two mode) matrix with nonnegative entries in a common low-dimensional space so that χ^2 distances between the rows and between the columns are well approximated by the Euclidean distances in the common space.

Let **N** be an $I \times J$ matrix with nonnegative entries and strictly positive margins. **N** may be frequencies of a two-way cross-tabulation, but this is not assumed in most of CA. Let $n = N_{++}$ be the overall sum of N_{ij} ("number of observations"). Define the correspondence table as the matrix **P** where $P_{ij} = N_{ij}/n$, so the overall sum of P_{ij} is $P_{++} = 1$. Let $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{P1}$ be the row margins, also known as the row masses, with elements $r_i > 0$. Similarly, $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{P'1}$ contains the column margins, or column masses, with elements $c_j > 0$.

CA is defined in terms of the generalized singular value decomposition (GSVD) of $\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{rc'}$ with respect to the inner products normed by \mathbf{D}_r^{-1} and \mathbf{D}_c^{-1} , where $\mathbf{D}_r = \text{diag}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\mathbf{D}_c = \text{diag}(\mathbf{c})$. The GSVD can be expressed in terms of the orthonormal (or standard) SVD of the standardized residuals

$$\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{D}_r^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\mathbf{P} - \mathbf{rc}') \mathbf{D}_c^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{with elements} \quad Z_{ij} = \frac{P_{ij} - r_i c_j}{\sqrt{r_i c_j}}$$

Denote by $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{R}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{C}'$ the SVD of \mathbf{Z} with $\mathbf{R}'\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{C}'\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ a diagonal matrix with singular values in decreasing order. ca displays a warning message if \mathbf{Z} has common singular values.

The total principal inertia of the correspondence table **P** is defined as $\chi^2/n = \sum_{i,j} Z_{ij}^2$, where χ^2 is Pearson's χ^2 statistic. We can express the inertia of **P** in terms of the singular values of **Z**:

inertia =
$$\frac{1}{n}\chi^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\min(I-1,J-1)}\lambda_k^2$$

The inertia accounted for by d dimensions is $\sum_{k=1}^{d} \lambda_k^2$. The fraction of inertia accounted for (explained) by the d dimensions is defined as

explained inertia =
$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{d} \lambda_k^2}{\sum_{k=1}^{\min(I-1, J-1)} \lambda_k^2}$$

Principal row (\widetilde{R}_{ik}) and principal column (\widetilde{C}_{ik}) coordinates are defined as

$$\widetilde{R}_{ik} = \frac{R_{ik}\lambda_k}{\sqrt{r_i}} = (\mathbf{D}_r^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{R}\mathbf{\Lambda})_{ik} \qquad \qquad \widetilde{C}_{jk} = \frac{C_{jk}\lambda_k}{\sqrt{c_j}} = (\mathbf{D}_c^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{\Lambda})_{jk}$$

The α -normalized row and column coordinates are defined as

$$R_{ik}^{(\alpha)} = \frac{R_{ik}\lambda_k^{\alpha}}{\sqrt{r_i}} \qquad \qquad C_{jk}^{(\alpha)} = \frac{C_{jk}\lambda_k^{1-\alpha}}{\sqrt{c_j}}$$

The row principal coordinates are obtained with $\alpha = 1$. The column principal coordinates are obtained with $\alpha = 0$. The symmetric coordinates are obtained with $\alpha = 1/2$.

Decomposition of inertia by rows $(In^{(r)})$ and by columns $(In^{(c)})$ is defined as

$$\mathrm{In}_{i}^{(r)} = \sum_{j=1}^{J} Z_{ij}^{2} \qquad \qquad \mathrm{In}_{j}^{(c)} = \sum_{i=1}^{I} Z_{ij}^{2}$$

Quality of subspace approximations for the row and column categories are defined as

$$Q_i^{(r)} = \frac{r_i}{\mathrm{In}_i^{(r)}} \sum_{k=1}^d \widetilde{R}_{ik}^2 \qquad \qquad Q_j^{(c)} = \frac{c_j}{\mathrm{In}_j^{(c)}} \sum_{k=1}^d \widetilde{C}_{jk}^2$$

If $d=\min(I-1,J-1),$ the quality index satisfies $Q_i^{(r)}=Q_j^{(c)}=1.$

CA provides several diagnostics for a more detailed analysis of inertia: what do the categories contribute to the inertia explained by the dimensions, and what do the dimensions contribute to the inertia explained for the categories?

The relative contributions of row $i(G_{ik}^{(r)})$ and of column $j(G_{jk}^{(c)})$ to the inertia of principal dimension k are defined as

$$G_{ik}^{(r)} = \frac{r_i \widetilde{R}_{ik}^2}{\lambda_k^2} \qquad \qquad G_{jk}^{(c)} = \frac{c_j \widetilde{C}_{jk}^2}{\lambda_k^2}$$

 $G_{+k}^{(r)} = G_{+k}^{(c)} = 1.$

The correlations $H_{ik}^{(r)}$ of the *i*th row profile and *k*th principal row dimension and, analogously, $H_{jk}^{(c)}$ for columns are

$$H_{ik}^{(r)} = \frac{r_i}{\ln_i^{(r)}} \widetilde{R}_{ik}^2 \qquad \qquad H_{jk}^{(c)} = \frac{c_j}{\ln_i^{(c)}} \widetilde{C}_{jk}^2$$

We now define the quantities returned by the estat subcommands after ca. The row profiles are $\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{D}_r^{-1}\mathbf{P}$. The χ^2 distance between rows i_1 and i_2 of \mathbf{P} is defined as the Mahalanobis distance between the respective row profiles \mathbf{U}_{i_1} and \mathbf{U}_{i_2} with respect to \mathbf{D}_c ,

$$(\mathbf{U}_{i_1} - \mathbf{U}_{i_2})\mathbf{D}_c^{-1}(\mathbf{U}_{i_1} - \mathbf{U}_{i_2})'$$

The column profiles and the χ^2 distances between columns are defined analogously. The χ^2 distances for the approximated correspondence table are defined analogously in terms of $\hat{\mathbf{P}}$.

The fitted or reconstructed values \hat{P}_{ij} are

$$\hat{P}_{ij} = r_i c_j \left(1 + \lambda_k^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^d \widetilde{R}_{ik} \widetilde{C}_{jk} \right)$$

References

Blasius, J., and M. J. Greenacre. 1994. "Computation of correspondence analysis". In Correspondence Analysis in the Social Sciences, edited by M. J. Greenacre and J. Blasius. London: Academic Press.

Cox, T. F., and M. A. A. Cox. 2001. Multidimensional Scaling. 2nd ed. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman and Hall/CRC. https://doi.org/10.1201/9780367801700.

Gower, J. C., and D. J. Hand. 1996. Biplots. London: Chapman and Hall.

Greenacre, M. J. 1984. Theory and Applications of Correspondence Analysis. London: Academic Press.

2017. Correspondence Analysis in Practice. 3rd ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press. https://doi.org/10.1201/ 9781315369983.

Greenacre, M. J., and J. Blasius, eds. 1994. Correspondence Analysis in the Social Sciences. London: Academic Press.

ISSP. 1993. International Social Survey Programme. https://www.issp.org.

- Milan, L., and J. C. Whittaker. 1995. Application of the parametric bootstrap to models that incorporate a singular value decomposition. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, C ser., 44: 31–49. https://doi.org/10.2307/2986193.
- Rencher, A. C., and W. F. Christensen. 2012. Methods of Multivariate Analysis. 3rd ed. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118391686.

Weller, S. C., and A. K. Romney. 1990. Metric Scaling: Correspondence Analysis. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

Also see

[MV] ca postestimation — Postestimation tools for ca and camat

[MV] ca postestimation plots — Postestimation plots for ca and camat

[MV] mca — Multiple and joint correspondence analysis

[R] **tabulate twoway** — Two-way table of frequencies

[U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands

Stata, Stata Press, Mata, NetCourse, and NetCourseNow are registered trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Stata and Stata Press are registered trademarks with the World Intellectual Property Organization of the United Nations. StataNow is a trademark of StataCorp LLC. Other brand and product names are registered trademarks or trademarks of their respective companies. Copyright © 1985–2025 StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA. All rights reserved.



For suggested citations, see the FAQ on citing Stata documentation.