#### lassoselect — Select lambda after lasso

Description Quick start Menu Syntax Options

Remarks and examples Stored results Also see

# **Description**

lassoselect allows the user to select a different  $\lambda^*$  after lasso and sqrtlasso when the selection method was selection(cv), selection(adaptive), selection(bic), or selection(none).

After elasticnet, the user can select a different  $(\alpha^*, \lambda^*)$  pair.

When the telasso, ds, po, and xpo commands fit models using selection(cv), selection(adaptive), or selection(bic) ([LASSO] lasso options), lassoselect can be used to select a different  $\lambda^*$  for a particular lasso.

## **Quick start**

After lasso with selection(cv), change the selected  $\lambda^*$  to that with ID = 52

lassoselect id = 52

Same as above, but change the selected  $\lambda^*$  to the  $\lambda$  closest to 0.01

lassoselect lambda = 0.01

After elasticnet, change the selected  $(\alpha^*, \lambda^*)$  to (0.5, 0.267345)

lassoselect alpha = 0.5 lambda = 0.267345

After dsregress with selection(adaptive), change the selected  $\lambda^*$  to 1.65278 for the adaptive lasso for the variable y

lassoselect lambda = 1.65278, for(y)

After poivregress with selection(bic), change the selected  $\lambda^*$  to the  $\lambda$  closest to 0.7 for the lasso for the prediction of the variable income

lassoselect lambda = 0.7, for(pred(income))

After xporegress with selection(cv) and resample, change the selected  $\lambda^*$  to 0.234189 for the lasso for the variable x26 for the 5th cross-fit fold in the 9th resample

lassoselect lambda = 0.234189, for (x26) xfold(5) resample(9)

After telasso with selection(cv), change the selected  $\lambda^*$  to the  $\lambda$  closest to 0.7 for the lasso for the outcome variable y at treatment level 1

lassoselect lambda = 0.7, for(y) tlevel(1)

## Menu

Statistics > Postestimation

# **Syntax**

selection(adaptive)

```
After lasso, sqrtlasso, and elasticnet
  lassoselect id = #
After lasso and sqrtlasso
  lassoselect lambda = #
After elasticnet
  lassoselect alpha = # lambda = #
After ds and po with selection(cv) or selection(adaptive)
  lassoselect { id | lambda } = #, for(varspec)
After xpo without resample and with selection(cv) or selection(adaptive)
  lassoselect { id | lambda } = #, for(varspec) xfold(#)
After xpo with resample and selection(cv) or selection(adaptive)
  lassoselect { id | lambda } = #, for(varspec) xfold(#) resample(#)
After telasso for the outcome variable and with selection(cv) or selection(adaptive)
  lassoselect { id | lambda } = #, for(varspec) tlevel(#)
After telasso for the treatment variable and with selection(cv) or selection(adaptive)
  lassoselect { id | lambda } = #, for(varspec)
After telasso for the outcome variable with cross-fitting but without resample and with
selection(cv) or selection(adaptive)
  lassoselect { id | lambda } = #, for(varspec) tlevel(#) xfold(#)
After telasso for the treatment variable with cross-fitting but without resample
  lassoselect { id | lambda } = #, for(varspec) xfold(#)
After telasso for the outcome variable with cross-fitting and resample and with selection(cv) or
selection(adaptive)
  lassoselect { id | lambda } = #, for(varspec) tlevel(#) xfold(#) resample(#)
After telasso for the treatment variable with cross-fitting and resample and with selection(cv) or
```

lassoselect { id | lambda } = #, for(varspec) xfold(#) resample(#)

varspec is varname, except after poivregress and xpoivregress, when it is either varname or pred(varname).

options	Description
* for (varspec)	lasso for <i>varspec</i> ; telasso, ds, po, and xpo commands only
* xfold(#)	lasso for the #th cross-fit fold; xpo commands and telasso with xfolds only
*resample(#)	lasso for the #th resample; xpo commands and telasso with resample only
*tlevel(#)	lasso for the outcome model with the treatment level #; telasso only

<sup>\*</sup>for(varspec) is required for all ds, po, and xpo commands and for telasso.

# **Options**

for (varspec) specifies a particular lasso after telasso or after a ds, po, or xpo estimation command fit using the option selection(cv), selection(adaptive), or selection(bic). For all commands except poivregress and xpoivregress, varspec is always varname.

For the ds, po, and xpo commands except poivregress and xpoivregress, varspec is either depvar, the dependent variable, or one of varsofinterest for which inference is done.

For poivregress and xpoivregress, varspec is either varname or pred(varname). The lasso for depvar is specified with its varname. Each of the endogenous variables have two lassos, specified by varname and pred(varname). The exogenous variables of interest each have only one lasso, and it is specified by pred(varname).

For telasso, *varspec* is either the outcome variable or the treatment variable.

This option is required after telasso and after the ds, po, and xpo commands.

xfold(#) specifies a particular lasso after an xpo estimation command or after telasso when the option xfolds (#) was specified. For each variable to be fit with a lasso, K lassos are done, one for each cross-fit fold, where K is the number of folds. This option specifies which fold, where  $\#=1,2,\ldots,K$ . xfold(#) is required after an xpo command and after telasso when the option xfolds (#) was specified.

resample (#) specifies a particular lasso after an xpo estimation command or after telasso fit using the option resample (#). For each variable to be fit with a lasso,  $R \times K$  lassos are done, where R is the number of resamples and K is the number of cross-fitting folds. This option specifies which resample, where  $\#=1,2,\ldots,R$ . resample (#), along with xfold (#), is required after an xpo command and after telasso with resampling.

tlevel (#) specifies the lasso for the outcome variable at the specified treatment level after telasso. This option is required to refer to the outcome model after telasso.

xfold(#) is required for all xpo commands and for telasso when the option xfolds(#) was specified.

resample(#) is required for xpo and for telasso when the option resample(#) was specified.

tlevel(#) is required for the outcome model in telasso.

collect is allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands.

### > Example 1: lasso linear

Here is an example using lasso from [LASSO] lasso examples. We load the data and make the vl variable lists active.

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/fakesurvey_vl
(Fictitious survey data with vl)
. vl rebuild
Rebuilding vl macros ...
(output omitted)
```

We want to evaluate our lasso predictions on a sample that we did not use to fit the lasso. So we randomly split our data into two samples of equal sizes. We will fit models on one, and we will use the other to test their predictions. We use splitsample to generate a variable indicating the two subsamples.

```
set seed 1234
splitsample, generate(sample) nsplit(2)
label define svalues 1 "Training" 2 "Testing"
label values sample svalues
```

We fit a lasso linear model on the first subsample.

```
. lasso linear q104 ($idemographics) $ifactors $vlcontinuous
> if sample == 1, rseed(1234)
10-fold cross-validation with 100 lambdas ...
                  lambda = .8978025
Grid value 1:
                                      no. of nonzero coef. =
Folds: 1...5....10 CVF = 16.93341
 (output omitted)
Grid value 23:
                  lambda = .1159557
                                      no. of nonzero coef. = 74
Folds: 1...5....10 CVF = 12.17933
... cross-validation complete ... minimum found
Lasso linear model
                                            No. of obs
                                                                        458
                                            No. of covariates =
                                                                        277
Selection: Cross-validation
                                            No. of CV folds =
                                                                         10
```

ID	Description	lambda	No. of nonzero coef.	Out-of- sample R-squared	CV mean prediction error
1	first lambda	.8978025	4	0.0147	16.93341
18	lambda before	.1846342	42	0.2953	12.10991
* 19	selected lambda	.1682318	49	0.2968	12.08516
20	lambda after	.1532866	55	0.2964	12.09189
23	last lambda	.1159557	74	0.2913	12.17933

<sup>\*</sup> lambda selected by cross-validation.

We store the results because we want to compare these results with other results later.

```
. estimates store lassocv
```

We run lassoknots with options to show the number of nonzero coefficients, estimates of out-ofsample  $R^2$ , and the Bayes information criterion (BIC).

. lassoknots, display(nonzero osr2 bic)

ID	lambda	No. of nonzero coef.	Out-of- sample R-squared	BIC
1	.8978025	4	0.0147	2618.642
2	.8180442	7	0.0236	2630.961
3	.7453714	8	0.0421	2626.254
4	.6791547	9	0.0635	2619.727
5	.6188205	10	0.0857	2611.577
6	.5638462	13	0.1110	2614.155
8	.468115	14	0.1581	2588.189
9	.4265289	16	0.1785	2584.638
10	.3886373	18	0.1980	2580.891
11	.3541118	22	0.2170	2588.984
12	.3226535	26	0.2340	2596.792
13	. 2939899	27	0.2517	2586.521
14	.2678726	28	0.2669	2578.211
15	.2440755	32	0.2784	2589.632
16	.2223925	35	0.2865	2593.753
17	.2026358	37	0.2919	2592.923
18	.1846342	42	0.2953	2609.975
* 19	.1682318	49	0.2968	2639.437
20	.1532866	55	0.2964	2663.451
21	. 139669	62	0.2952	2693.929
22	.1272612	66	0.2934	2707.174
23	.1159557	74	0.2913	2744.508

<sup>\*</sup> lambda selected by cross-validation.

Research indicates that under certain conditions, selecting the  $\lambda$  that minimizes the BIC gives good predictions. See BIC in [LASSO] lassoknots.

Here the  $\lambda$  with ID = 14 gives the minimum value of the BIC. Let's select it.


```
. lassoselect id = 14
ID = 14 lambda = .2678726 selected
```

When lassoselect runs, it changes the current estimation results to correspond with the selected lambda. It is almost the same as running another estimation command and wiping out the old estimation results. We say "almost" because it is easy to change  $\lambda^*$  back to what it was originally. We stored our earlier results knowing lassoselect was going to do this.

Let's store the new results from lassoselect.

. estimates store lassosel

. cvplot



The CV function is curving upward at the value of the new selected  $\lambda^*$ . Alternative  $\lambda^*$ 's in a region where the CV function is still relatively flat are sometimes selected, but that is not the case here.

The real test is to see how well it does for out-of-sample prediction compared with the original  $\lambda^*$ . We run lassogof to do this.

. lassogof lassocv lassosel, over(sample) postselection Postselection coefficients

Name	sample	MSE	R-squared	Obs
lassocv				
	Training	8.652771	0.5065	503
	Testing	14.58354	0.2658	493
lassosel				
	Training	9.740229	0.4421	508
	Testing	13.44496	0.3168	503

The model for  $\lambda^*$  that minimized the BIC did considerably better on out-of-sample prediction than the model for  $\lambda^*$  that minimized the CV function. In-sample prediction was better for the  $\lambda^*$  that minimized the CV function. That is expected because that model contains more variables. But it appears these extra variables were mostly fitting noise, and that hurt the model's out-of-sample predictive ability.

Example 2: dsregress

lassoselect can be used after the ds, po, and xpo commands when they are fit using selection(cv) or selection(adaptive). See [LASSO] lasso options.

4

We load the data used in [LASSO] lasso examples. See that entry for details about the data.

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/fakesurvey_vl, clear
(Fictitious survey data with vl)
. vl rebuild
Rebuilding v1 macros ...
 (output omitted)
```

We are going to fit a dsregress model with q104 as our dependent variable and variables of interest q41 and q22. These variables of interest are currently in the variable lists factors and vlcontinuous, which we will use to specify the control variables. So we need to move them out of these variable lists.

```
. vl modify factors = factors - (q41)
note: 1 variable removed from $factors.
. vl move (q22) vlother
note: 1 variable specified and 1 variable moved.
 (output omitted)
. vl rebuild
Rebuilding v1 macros ...
 (output omitted)
```

After we moved the variables out of the variable lists, we typed v1 rebuild to update the variable list ifactors created from factors. See [D] vl for details.

Before we fit our dsregress model using cross-validation, let's fit it using the default selection(plugin).

. dsregress q104 i.q41 q22, controls((\$idemographics) \$ifactors \$vlcontinuous) Estimating lasso for q104 using plugin Estimating lasso for 1bn.q41 using plugin Estimating lasso for q22 using plugin

Double-selection linear model Number of obs 914 Number of controls 274 Number of selected controls = 33 Wald chi2(2) 18.72 Prob > chi2 0.0001

q104	Coefficient	Robust std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
q41 Yes q22	.8410538 0878443	.2691082 .0310435	3.13 -2.83	0.002 0.005	.3136114 1486884	1.368496 0270001

Note: Chi-squared test is a Wald test of the coefficients of the variables of interest jointly equal to zero. Lassos select controls for model estimation. Type lassoinfo to see number of selected variables in each lasso.

We run lassoinfo to see how many nonzero coefficients were in each lasso fit by dsregress. It is a good idea to always run lassoinfo after any ds, po, or xpo command.

#### . lassoinfo

Estimate: active Command: dsregress

Variable	Model	Selection method	lambda	No. of selected variables
q104	linear	plugin	.1467287	18
1bn.q41	linear	plugin	.1467287	16
q22	linear	plugin	.1467287	15

We now run dsregress with selection(cv),

- . dsregress q104 i.q41 q22,
- > controls((\$idemographics) \$ifactors \$vlcontinuous)
- > selection(cv) rseed(1234)

Estimating lasso for q104 using cv Estimating lasso for 1bn.q41 using cv Estimating lasso for q22 using cv

Double-selection linear model

 Number of obs
 =
 914

 Number of controls
 =
 274

 Number of selected controls
 =
 123

 Wald chi2(2)
 =
 10.96

 Prob > chi2
 =
 0.0042

q104	Coefficient	Robust std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
q41 Yes q22	.6003918 0681067	.2848483	2.11 -2.22	0.035 0.026	.0420994 1281246	1.158684 0080888

Note: Chi-squared test is a Wald test of the coefficients of the variables of interest jointly equal to zero. Lassos select controls for model estimation. Type lassoinfo to see number of selected variables in each lasso.

#### and then run lassoinfo.

#### . lassoinfo

Estimate: active Command: dsregress

Variable	Model		Selection criterion	lambda	No. of selected variables
q104 1bn.q41 q22	linear linear linear	cv cv	CV min. CV min. CV min.	.1116376 .0135958 .1624043	63 68 49

The selection(cv) lassos selected considerably more variables than the selection(plugin) lassos. The CV lassos selected 63, 68, and 49 variables for the lassos, whereas the plugin lassos selected 18, 16, and 15 variables.

We are going to use lassoselect to change the selected  $\lambda^*$  for CV lassos to match the number of selected variables in the plugin lassos.

. lassoknots, display(nonzero cvmpe osr2) for(q104)

		No. of nonzero	CV mean pred.	Out-of- sample
ID	lambda	coef.	error	R-squared
1	.864369	4	17.9727	0.0187
2	.7875809	6	17.88282	0.0236
3	.7176144	7	17.64713	0.0365
4	.6538635	8	17.32777	0.0539
5	.595776	12	16.87904	0.0784
6	.5428489	14	16.3203	0.1089
7	.4946237	15	15.74852	0.1401
8	.4506827	18	15.2143	0.1693
(output	omitted)			
22	.1225221	52	12.02453	0.3435
* 23	.1116376	59	12.02148	0.3436
24	.10172	62	12.02571	0.3434
25	.0926835	71	12.03785	0.3427
26	.0844497	76	12.0626	0.3414
27	.0769474	80	12.09713	0.3395
27	.0769474	80	12.09713	0.3395

<sup>\*</sup> lambda selected by cross-validation.

<sup>.</sup> lassoknots, display(nonzero cvmpe osr2) for(1bn.q41)

		No. of	CV mean	Out-of-
		nonzero	pred.	sample
ID	lambda	coef.	error	R-squared
1	.1155307	4	.2509624	-0.0044
2	.1052673	5	.248763	0.0044
3	.0959156	8	. 2442525	0.0224
4	.0873947	9	.2388787	0.0439
5	.0796308	11	.2328436	0.0681
6	.0725566	12	.2262371	0.0945
10	.0500105	15	.2076117	0.1691
12	.0415196	16	.2020617	0.1913
(output	omitted)			
23	.0149214	61	. 1898068	0.2403
* 24	.0135958	64	.1895992	0.2412
25	.012388	68	. 1896789	0.2408
26	.0112875	76	.1900733	0.2393
27	.0102847	87	.190537	0.2374
28	.0093711	94	.190995	0.2356

<sup>\*</sup> lambda selected by cross-validation.

	lassoknots,	display(nonzero	cvmpe	osr2)	for(q22)
--	-------------	-----------------	-------	-------	----------

		No. of	CV mean	Out-of-
		nonzero	pred.	sample
ID	lambda	coef.	error	R-squared
1	1.380036	4	22.19516	0.0403
2	1.257437	6	21.66035	0.0634
3	1.14573	7	21.01623	0.0913
5	.9512051	8	19.70951	0.1478
9	.6556288	9	18.04511	0.2197
10	.5973845	10	17.74092	0.2329
11	.5443145	11	17.41052	0.2472
12	.4959591	13	17.09005	0.2610
13	.4518995	15	16.78501	0.2742
(output	omitted)			
23	.1782385	39	14.93049	0.3544
* 24	.1624043	45	14.92344	0.3547
25	. 1479767	55	14.93826	0.3541
26	. 1348309	67	14.94057	0.3540
27	.1228529	70	14.93962	0.3540
28	.111939	75	14.95101	0.3535

<sup>\*</sup> lambda selected by cross-validation.

When we look at the lassoinfo output for the plugin lassos, we see that the value of  $\lambda^*$  for each lasso was the same, namely, 0.1467287. This value does not match up with the same numbers of nonzero coefficients for the CV lassos in these knot tables.

The plugin estimator for  $\lambda^*$  uses estimated coefficient-level weights in its lassos. In theoretical terms, these coefficient-level weights put  $\lambda^*$  on the correct scale for covariate selection by normalizing the scores of the unpenalized estimator. In practical terms, these weights cause the effective scale of  $\lambda$  for selection(plugin) and selection(cv) to differ.

We select the  $\lambda^*$ 's for each CV lasso to match the number of nonzero coefficients of the plugin lassos.

```
. lassoselect id = 6, for(q104)
ID = 6 lambda = .5428489 selected
. lassoselect id = 6, for(1bn.q41)
ID = 6 lambda = .0725566 selected
```

<sup>.</sup> lassoselect id = 11, for(q22)

ID = 11 lambda = .5443145 selected

To update our dsregress model with these new  $\lambda^*$ 's, we rerun the command with the reestimate option. Then, we run lassoinfo to confirm that the lassos produced the same number of nonzero coefficients.

#### . dsregress, reestimate

Double-selection linear model	Number of obs	=	914
	Number of controls	=	274
	Number of selected contro	ols =	33
	Wald chi2(2)	=	18.72
	Prob > chi2	=	0.0001

q104	Coefficient	Robust std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
q41 Yes q22	.8410538 0878443	.2691082 .0310435	3.13 -2.83	0.002 0.005	.3136114 1486884	1.368496 0270001

Note: Chi-squared test is a Wald test of the coefficients of the variables of interest jointly equal to zero. Lassos select controls for model estimation. Type lassoinfo to see number of selected variables in each

#### . lassoinfo

Estimate: active Command: dsregress


Variable	Model	Selection method	Selection criterion	lambda	No. of selected variables
q104	linear	user	user	.5428489	18
1bn.q41	linear	user	user	.0725566	16
q22	linear	user	user	.5443145	15

These new dsregress results are exactly the same as the dsregress results produced with plugin lassos.

4

We can plot the CV function and see where the new  $\lambda^*$  falls. We do so for the lasso for the dependent variable q104.

. cvplot, for(q104)



It may be that the plugin lassos underselected controls for this problem. Or it may be that the plugin lassos actually did fine and the CV lassos overselected controls. We might want to continue these sensitivity analyses and pick some  $\lambda^*$ 's intermediate between the plugin values and the CV values. Plugin selection and CV selection are not just two different numerical techniques, they are two different modeling techniques, each with a different set of assumptions. See [LASSO] Inference requirements.

### Stored results

lassoselect stores the following in r():

Macros

selected variables r(varlist)

# Also see

[LASSO] lasso postestimation — Postestimation tools for lasso for prediction [CAUSAL] telasso postestimation — Postestimation tools for telasso

Stata, Stata Press, Mata, NetCourse, and NetCourseNow are registered trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Stata and Stata Press are registered trademarks with the World Intellectual Property Organization of the United Nations. StataNow is a trademark of StataCorp LLC. Other brand and product names are registered trademarks or trademarks of their respective companies. Copyright © 1985–2025 StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA. All rights reserved.



For suggested citations, see the FAQ on citing Stata documentation.