## lassoknots — Display knot table after lasso estimation

Description	Quick start	Menu	Syntax	Options
Remarks and examples	Stored results	Methods and formulas	References	Also see

# Description

lassoknots shows a table of knots after a lasso. Knots are the values of  $\lambda$  at which variables in the model change.

lassoknots displays the names of the variables added or removed as models are fit for successive  $\lambda$ 's. When using cross-validation (CV) to select  $\lambda^*$ , lassoknots will display values of the CV function.

lassoknots also displays measures of fit. After viewing measures of fit, you can select an alternative  $\lambda^*$  using lassoselect.

When telasso, ds, po, and xpo commands fit models using selection(cv), selection(adaptive), or selection(bic) (see [LASSO] lasso options), lassoknots can be used to show the CV function (for cv and adaptive) or the BIC function or other measures of fit for each of the lassos computed.

lassoknots does work after selection(plugin) but only shows measures for the single  $\lambda^*$  estimated by the plugin formula.

## **Quick start**

Show knot table after lasso, sqrtlasso, and elasticnet

lassoknots

Same as above, but show number of nonzero coefficients, out-of-sample  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and variables added or removed after a linear model

lassoknots, display(nonzero osr2 variables)

Same as above, but show in-sample  $R^2$  and CV mean-prediction error in addition to out-of-sample  $R^2$  lassoknots, display(osr2 r2 cvmpe)

After lasso logit, lasso probit, or lasso poisson, show out-of-sample mean-deviance ratio, insample deviance ratio, and Bayes information criterion (BIC)

lassoknots, display(cvdevratio devratio bic)

After a lasso fit with selection(adaptive), show knot tables for all adaptive steps

lassoknots, steps

After a ds or po estimation with selection(cv) or selection(adaptive), show the knot table for the lasso for the dependent variable y

lassoknots, for(y)

After poivregress, show the knot table for the lasso for the prediction of the endogenous variable whatup

lassoknots, for(pred(whatup))

After xporegress with option resample, show the knot table for the lasso for x for the 4th cross-fit fold of the 9th resample

```
lassoknots, for(x) xfold(4) resample(9)
```

After telasso estimation with selection(cv) or selection(adaptive), show the knot table for the lasso for the outcome variable y at treatment level 1

```
lassoknots, for(y) tlevel(1)
```

## Menu

Statistics > Postestimation

# **Syntax**

```
After lasso, sqrtlasso, and elasticnet
   lassoknots [, options]
After ds and po
   lassoknots, for(varspec) [options]
After xpo without resample
  lassoknots, for(varspec) xfold(#) [options]
After xpo with resample
  lassoknots, for(varspec) xfold(#) resample(#) [options]
After telasso for the outcome variable
   lassoknots, for(varspec) tlevel(#) [ options ]
After t.elasso for the treatment variable
  lassoknots, for(varspec) | options |
After telasso for the outcome variable with cross-fitting but without resample
  lassoknots, for(varspec) tlevel(#) xfold(#) [options]
After telasso for the treatment variable with cross-fitting but without resample
  lassoknots, for(varspec) xfold(#) [options]
After telasso for the outcome variable with cross-fitting and resample
   lassoknots, for(varspec) tlevel(#) xfold(#) resample(#) [options]
```

After telasso for the treatment variable with cross-fitting and resample

lassoknots, for(varspec) xfold(#) resample(#) [options]

varspec is varname, except after polyregress and xpolyregress, when it is either varname or pred(varname).

options	Description
display(di_opts)	specify what to display; maximum of three di_opts options
<u>all</u> lambdas	show all $\lambda$ 's
steps	show all adaptive steps; selection(adaptive) only
nolstretch	do not stretch the width of the table to accommodate long variable names
* for (varspec)	lasso for varspec; telasso, ds, po, and xpo commands only
* xfold(#)	lasso for the #th cross-fit fold; xpo commands and telasso with xfolds only
* resample(#)	lasso for the #th resample; xpo commands and telasso with resample only
*tlevel(#)	lasso for the outcome model with the treatment level #; telasso only

<sup>\*</sup>for(varspec) is required for all ds, po, and xpo commands and for telasso.

xfold(#) is required for all xpo commands and for telasso when the option xfolds(#) was specified.

resample(#) is required for xpo and for telasso when the option resample(#) was specified.

tlevel(#) is required for the outcome model in telasso.

collect is allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands.

di_opts	Description
nonzero variables	number of nonzero coefficients names of variables added or removed
cvmd <u>cvdev</u> ratio <u>dev</u> ratio	CV mean deviance (the CV function) CV mean-deviance ratio in-sample deviance ratio
bic 11 12	BIC relative $\ell_1$ -norm of coefficients relative $\ell_2$ -norm squared of coefficients
Linear models only cvmpe osr2 r2	CV mean-prediction error (the CV function) out-of-sample $\mathbb{R}^2$ in-sample $\mathbb{R}^2$

# **Options**

display(di\_opts) specifies what to display in the knot table. A maximum of three di\_opts options can be specified. For lassos fit using selection(cv) or selection(adaptive), the default is display(nonzero cvmpe variables) for linear models and display(nonzero cvmd variables) for logit, probit, Poisson, and Cox models. For lassos fit using selection(plugin) or selection(bic), the default is display(nonzero r2 variables) for linear models and display (nonzero devratio variables) for logit, probit, Poisson, and Cox models. The full set of *di\_opts* is the following.

nonzero specifies that the number of nonzero coefficients be shown.

variables specifies that the names of variables added or removed at each knot be shown.

- cymd specifies that the CV mean deviance be shown. These are the values of the CV function that are searched for a minimum. For linear models, it is the same as the CV mean-prediction error given by cympe. cymd is available only for lassos fit using selection(cy) or selection(adaptive).
- cvdevratio specifies that the CV mean-deviance ratio be shown. The CV mean-deviance ratio is an estimate of out-of-sample goodness of fit. As a measure of prediction performance, it is superior to devratio, the in-sample deviance ratio. It is typically between 0 and 1, but in some cases, it may be outside this range. For linear models, it is the same as out-of-sample  $R^2$  given by osr2. cvdevratio is available only for lassos fit using selection(cv) or selection(adaptive).
- devratio specifies that the in-sample deviance ratio be shown. The in-sample deviance ratio is an indicator of in-sample goodness of fit. The in-sample deviance generalizes the in-sample  $R^2$  to nonlinear models. As a measure of prediction performance, it is inferior to cvdevratio, the CV mean-deviance ratio. The in-sample deviance ratio is a poor measure of prediction performance because it does not capture the cost of including additional covariates for prediction. It is always between 0 and 1. For linear models, it is the same as in-sample  $R^2$  given by r2.
- bic specifies that the BIC be shown. Note that the BIC can be displayed for lassos fit using selection(cv) and selection(adaptive), but the CV measures—cvmd, cvdevratio, and cvmpe—are not available for lassos fit using selection(bic).
- 11 specifies that the relative  $\ell_1$ -norm of coefficients be shown.
- 12 specifies that relative  $\ell_2$ -norm squared of coefficients be shown.

Linear models only

- cvmpe specifies that the CV mean-prediction error be shown. These are the values of the CV function that are searched for a minimum. cvmpe is available only for lassos fit using selection(cv) or selection(adaptive).
- osr2 specifies that the out-of-sample  $R^2$  be shown. The out-of-sample  $R^2$  is an estimate of outof-sample goodness of fit. As a measure of prediction performance, it is superior to r2, the in-sample  $R^2$ . It is typically between 0 and 1, but in some cases, it may be outside this range.
- r2 specifies that the in-sample deviance ratio be shown. The in-sample deviance ratio is an indicator of in-sample goodness of fit. As a measure of prediction performance, it is inferior to osr2, the out-of-sample  $R^2$ . The in-sample  $R^2$  is a poor measure of prediction performance because it does not capture the cost of including additional covariates for prediction. It is always between 0 and 1.

- alllambdas specifies that all  $\lambda$ 's are to be shown, not just the knots. Measures at  $\lambda$ 's that are not knots change slightly because the coefficient estimates change slightly. \(\lambda\)'s that are not knots can be selected as  $\lambda^*$  by lassoselect; however, this is typically not done.
- steps applies to selection (adaptive) only. When specified,  $\lambda$ 's for all adaptive steps are shown. By default,  $\lambda$ 's for only the last adaptive step are shown.
- nolstretch specifies that the width of the table not be automatically widened to accommodate long variable names. When nolstretch is specified, names are abbreviated to make the table width no more than 79 characters. The default, 1stretch, is to automatically widen the table up to the width of the Results window. To change the default, use set 1stretch off.
- for (varspec) specifies a particular lasso after telasso or after a ds, po, or xpo estimation command fit using the option selection(cv), selection(adaptive), or selection(bic). For all commands except poivregress and xpoivregress, varspec is always varname.

For the ds, po, and xpo commands except poivregress and xpoivregress, varspec is either depvar, the dependent variable, or one of varsofinterest for which inference is done.

For poivregress and xpoivregress, varspec is either varname or pred(varname). The lasso for depvar is specified with its varname. Each of the endogenous variables have two lassos, specified by varname and pred (varname). The exogenous variables of interest each have only one lasso, and it is specified by pred(varname).

For telasso, *varspec* is either the outcome variable or the treatment variable.

This option is required after telasso and after the ds, po, and xpo commands.

- xfold(#) specifies a particular lasso after an xpo estimation command or after telasso when the option xfolds (#) was specified. For each variable to be fit with a lasso, K lassos are done, one for each cross-fit fold, where K is the number of folds. This option specifies which fold, where  $\#=1,2,\ldots,K$ . xfold(#) is required after an xpo command and after telasso when the option xfolds (#) was specified.
- resample (#) specifies a particular lasso after an xpo estimation command or after telasso fit using the option resample (#). For each variable to be fit with a lasso,  $R \times K$  lassos are done, where R is the number of resamples and K is the number of cross-fitting folds. This option specifies which resample, where  $\#=1,2,\ldots,R$ . resample (#), along with xfold (#), is required after an xpo command and after telasso with resampling.
- tlevel (#) specifies the lasso for the outcome variable at the specified treatment level after telasso. This option is required to refer to the outcome model after telasso.

# Remarks and examples

Remarks are presented under the following headings:

Introduction In-sample measures versus estimates of out-of-sample measures BICExamples

## Introduction

When a lasso is fit over a grid of  $\lambda$ 's, it starts with the smallest  $\lambda$  that produces a model with no selected variables. This initial  $\lambda$  is the largest  $\lambda$  in the grid. Lasso steps to the next  $\lambda$  and fits a model for it. One or more variables are selected for this second  $\lambda$  (if no variables were selected, it would be the starting  $\lambda$ ). Lasso steps to the third  $\lambda$ , and more variables may be selected, or the model may have the same variables as the model for the second  $\lambda$ .

In this way, lasso iterates across the grid of  $\lambda$  values.  $\lambda$ 's at which the selected variables change are called "knots". Variables are not only added at a knot but also sometimes removed. Typically, when a variable is removed, one or more variables are added in its place. Usually, the number of nonzero coefficients increases monotonically as  $\lambda$  gets smaller but not always. Occasionally, the net number of variables in the model goes down, rather than up, in an iteration to a smaller  $\lambda$ .

lassoknots displays a table of the knots, showing the names of variables that enter and leave the models. The option all lambdas can be specified to display all the  $\lambda$ 's in the grid. To view all variables selected at a particular  $\lambda$ , you can use lassoselect to select that  $\lambda$  and then lassocoef to list the variables and, optionally, the coefficients.

Selection methods selection(cv), selection(adaptive), selection(bic), and selection(none) fit models for each  $\lambda$  in the grid. The method selection(plugin) calculates  $\lambda^*$  using a formula so there is only one  $\lambda$ .

#### Measures of fit

lassoknots will also display other measures. The methods selection(cv) and selection(adaptive) use CV. When CV is performed, lassoknots by default displays the number of nonzero coefficients, the CV function, and the names of variables that enter or leave the model

Optionally, there are five other measures that can be displayed. For linear models, they are in-sample  $R^2$  (r2), estimates of out-of-sample  $R^2$  (osr2), the BIC (bic), relative  $\ell_1$ -norm of coefficients (11), and relative  $\ell_2$ -norm squared of coefficients (12).

For nonlinear models, in place of the  $R^2$  measures, there are the analogous measures, the in-sample deviance ratio (devratio) and estimates of out-of-sample deviance ratio (cvdevratio).

The in-sample measures, BIC, and relative norms are available regardless of whether CV was done.

The out-of-sample  $R^2$  and out-of-sample deviance ratio are not computed on out-of-sample data, but rather they are estimates of what these measures would be on out-of-sample data. The CV procedure provides these estimates.

# In-sample measures versus estimates of out-of-sample measures

Estimates of out-of-sample measures are superior to in-sample measures.

Consider a linear lasso. The set of covariates that produces the smallest out-of-sample MSE is the set that produces the best predictions. CV is used to estimate out-of-sample MSE and select the set that produces the smallest estimate.

In contrast, we should not use in-sample MSE to select the set of covariates. In-sample MSE systematically underestimates out-of-sample prediction error. In-sample MSE can be made smaller and smaller simply by including more covariates (as long as they are not collinear with covariates already in the model). In-sample MSE does not capture the cost of including more covariates.

For the same reason, estimates of out-of-sample  $R^2$  are superior to in-sample  $R^2$  for linear models. For logit, probit, and Poisson models, estimates of out-of-sample deviance ratios are superior to in-sample deviance ratios.

See Hastie, Tibshirani, and Friedman (2009, sec. 7.2) for an introduction to a comparison of in-sample and out-of-sample measures of the predictive ability of a model.

#### **BIC**

Information criteria, like the BIC, have a term that penalizes for each additional parameter. Selecting the set of covariates that minimizes the BIC is another way to select a set of covariates that will predict well out of sample. Zhang, Li, and Tsai (2010) show that the  $\lambda$  selected by minimizing the BIC will select a set of covariates close to the true set under the conditions described in their article.

In practice, the BIC is more informative than the in-sample measures reported by lassoknots for selection(plugin) and selection(none).

## **Examples**

## Example 1: lasso linear

Here is an example using lasso from [LASSO] lasso examples. We load the data and make the vl variable lists active.

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/fakesurvey_vl
(Fictitious survey data with vl)
. vl rebuild
Rebuilding vl macros ...
 (output omitted)
```

We fit a lasso linear model.

```
. lasso linear q104 $idemographics $ifactors $vlcontinuous, rseed(1234)
10-fold cross-validation with 100 lambdas ...
Grid value 1:
                 lambda = .9090511
                                     no. of nonzero coef. =
Folds: 1...5....10 CVF = 18.33331
 (output omitted)
Grid value 28:
                 lambda = .0737359
                                      no. of nonzero coef. = 80
Folds: 1...5....10 CVF = 11.92887
... cross-validation complete ... minimum found
Lasso linear model
                                            No. of obs
                                                                       914
                                            No. of covariates =
                                                                       277
Selection: Cross-validation
                                            No. of CV folds
                                                                        10
```

ID	Description	lambda	No. of nonzero coef.	Out-of- sample R-squared	CV mean prediction error
1	first lambda	.9090511	0	-0.0010	18.33331
23	lambda before	.1174085	58	0.3543	11.82553
* 24	selected lambda	.1069782	64	0.3547	11.81814
25	lambda after	.0974746	66	0.3545	11.8222
28	last lambda	.0737359	80	0.3487	11.92887

<sup>\*</sup> lambda selected by cross-validation.

#### We run lassoknots.

#### . lassoknots

		N .		
		No. of	CV mean	Variables (A) dad (D) served
TD	1	nonzero	pred.	Variables (A)dded, (R)emoved,
ID	lambda	coef.	error	or left (U)nchanged
2	.8282935	2	18.24362	A 0.q19 0.q88
3	.7547102	4	17.99053	A 0.q85 3.q156
5	.6265736	7	17.26211	A 0.q48 0.q73 0.q101
6	.5709106	11	16.7744	A 4.q38 q31 q76 q139
7	.5201924	15	16.19275	A 0.q5 2.q34 0.q43 0.q50
8	.47398	16	15.58941	A q22
11	.3585485	19	14.07708	A 0.q41 0.q56 2.q84
12	.326696	22	13.69483	A 3.q16 0.q89 0.q118
13	.2976732	25	13.3281	A 0.q91 age 0.gender
14	.2712288	26	12.99274	A 3.q38
16	.2251789	32	12.48904	A 0.q3 0.q49 0.q150
				2.q155 0.q160 q111
18	.1869475	34	12.15245	A 2.q6 3.q78
19	.1703396	39	12.03358	A 0.q14 0.q33 0.q126 0.q147 0.q149
20	.1552071	42	11.94361	A 0.q25 0.q82 1.q110
21	.1414189	46	11.88652	A 0.q96 q20 3.q110 1.q134
22	.1288556	50	11.84693	A 0.q32 0.q102 1.q105 0.q122
23	.1174085	58	11.82553	A 0.q4 0.q7 1.q34 0.q40 3.q84 q53 q93 2.q134
* 24	.1069782	64	11.81814	A 0.q51 0.q55 0.q75 0.q77 q63 0.q115
25	.0974746	66	11.8222	A 3.q6 0.q117
26	.0888152	70	11.84669	A 0.q59 3.q95 q21 0.q125
27	.0809251	72	11.88463	A 0.q100 4.q155
28	.0737359	80	11.92887	A 0.q13 0.q30 0.q68
				q52 q70 2.q110 0.q153 0.q159

<sup>\*</sup> lambda selected by cross-validation.

The table ends at the 28th  $\lambda$ . The default grid had 100  $\lambda$ 's. The iteration over the  $\lambda$  grid ended after a minimum of the CV function was found. There are other cases in which the iteration ends before the end of the grid is reached. See The CV function in [LASSO] lasso and [LASSO] lasso fitting for details.

The option alllambdas shows all the  $\lambda$ 's for which models were fit. In this case, the first 28  $\lambda$ 's in the grid.

. lassoknots, alllambdas

ID	lambda	No. of nonzero coef.	CV mean pred. error			ded, (R)emoved, U)nchanged
1	.9090511	0	18.33331	U		
2	.8282935	2	18.24362	A 0.q19	0.q88	
3	.7547102	4	17.99053	A 0.q85	3.q156	
4	.6876638	4	17.6434	U T	•	
5	.6265736	7	17.26211	A 0.q48	0.q73	0.q101
6	.5709106	11	16.7744	A 4.q38	q31	q76
				q139		
7	.5201924	15	16.19275	A 0.q5	2.q34	0.q43
				0.q50		
8	.47398	16	15.58941	A q22		
9	.4318729	16	15.01285	U		
10	.3935065	16	14.50648	U		
11	.3585485	19	14.07708	A 0.q41	0.q56	2.q84
12	.326696	22	13.69483	A 3.q16	0.q89	0.q118
13	.2976732	25	13.3281	A 0.q91	age	0.gender
14	.2712288	26	12.99274	A 3.q38		
15	.2471336	26	12.71385	Ŭ		
16	.2251789	32	12.48904	A 0.q3	0.q49	0.q150
				2.q155	0.q160	q111
17	.2051746	32	12.30196	Ŭ		
18	.1869475	34	12.15245	A 2.q6	3.q78	
19	.1703396	39	12.03358	A 0.q14	0.q33	0.q126
				0.q147	0.q149	
20	.1552071	42	11.94361	A 0.q25	0.q82	1.q110
21	.1414189	46	11.88652	A 0.q96 1.q134	q20	3.q110
22	.1288556	50	11.84693	A 0.q32	0.q102	1.q105
				0.q122		
23	.1174085	58	11.82553	A 0.q4	0.q7	1.q34
				0.q40	3.q84	q53
				q93	2.q134	
* 24	.1069782	64	11.81814	A 0.q51	0.q55	0.q75
				0.q77	q63	0.q115
25	.0974746	66	11.8222	A 3.q6	0.q117	
26	.0888152	70	11.84669	A 0.q59	3.q95	q21
				0.q125		
27	.0809251	72	11.88463	A 0.q100	4.q155	
28	.0737359	80	11.92887	A 0.q13	0.q30	0.q68
				q52	q70	2.q110
				0.q153	0.q159	

<sup>\*</sup> lambda selected by cross-validation.

The  $\lambda$ 's that are not knots have a U for unchanged in the variables column. At these  $\lambda$ 's, the variables in the model do not change, but their coefficient estimates do. In this example, the selected  $\lambda^*$  is a knot, but frequently the selected  $\lambda^*$  will not be a knot.

We display the number of nonzero coefficients again, but this time with estimates of out-of-sample  $R^2$  and in-sample  $R^2$ .

. lassoknots, display(nonzero osr2 r2)

ID	lambda	No. of nonzero coef.	Out-of- sample R-squared	In-sample R-squared
2	.8282935	2	0.0039	0.0102
3	.7547102	4	0.0177	0.0278
5	.6265736	7	0.0575	0.0707
6	.5709106	11	0.0841	0.1051
7	.5201924	15	0.1159	0.1414
8	.47398	16	0.1488	0.1790
11	.3585485	19	0.2314	0.2635
12	.326696	22	0.2523	0.2861
13	.2976732	25	0.2723	0.3090
14	.2712288	26	0.2906	0.3288
16	.2251789	32	0.3181	0.3610
18	.1869475	34	0.3365	0.3870
19	.1703396	39	0.3430	0.3981
20	.1552071	42	0.3479	0.4081
21	.1414189	46	0.3510	0.4176
22	.1288556	50	0.3532	0.4263
23	.1174085	58	0.3543	0.4342
* 24	.1069782	64	0.3547	0.4418
25	.0974746	66	0.3545	0.4486
26	.0888152	70	0.3532	0.4546
27	.0809251	72	0.3511	0.4598
28	.0737359	80	0.3487	0.4647

<sup>\*</sup> lambda selected by cross-validation.

In-sample  $R^2$  is significantly larger than the estimates of out-of-sample  $R^2$ . As we discussed in Insample measures versus estimates of out-of-sample measures above, in-sample  $R^2$  should not be used for assessing fit. It is, however, occasionally useful for exposing problems with the specification of the set of potential covariates. For example, suppose our dependent variable is log-income and we accidentally include income as a potential covariate. It will no doubt be selected, and we will see an  $R^2$  of 1 or close to it. Seeing that, we realize we made a mistake in the specification of potential variables.

We run lassoknots again to display BIC and the relative norms of the coefficient vectors.

. lassoknots, display(11 12 bic)

			Relative L1	Relative L2
ID	lambda	BIC	length	length
2	.8282935	5262.546	0.0084	0.0013
3	.7547102	5259.79	0.0244	0.0060
5	.6265736	5238.991	0.0696	0.0313
6	.5709106	5231.834	0.1066	0.0544
7	.5201924	5221.257	0.1449	0.0840
8	.47398	5187.164	0.1903	0.1195
11	.3585485	5108.273	0.3092	0.2504
12	.326696	5100.274	0.3492	0.2982
13	.2976732	5090.95	0.3948	0.3487
14	.2712288	5071.186	0.4375	0.4001
16	.2251789	5067.137	0.5179	0.4999
18	.1869475	5042.754	0.5959	0.5949
19	.1703396	5060.244	0.6344	0.6398
20	.1552071	5065.277	0.6734	0.6834
21	.1414189	5077.835	0.7133	0.7259
22	.1288556	5091.401	0.7543	0.7677
23	.1174085	5133.245	0.7955	0.8091
* 24	.1069782	5161.662	0.8388	0.8503
25	.0974746	5164.198	0.8805	0.8904
26	.0888152	5181.477	0.9213	0.9286
27	.0809251	5186.25	0.9606	0.9651
28	.0737359	5232.569	1.0000	1.0000

<sup>\*</sup> lambda selected by cross-validation.

The relative norms are relative to the coefficient vector for the last  $\lambda$ . If we were using BIC to select  $\lambda^*$ , we would have chosen  $\lambda$  at ID = 18.

4

## Example 2: lasso logit

We fit a lasso logit model using the same data as in the previous example.

```
. lasso logit q106 $idemographics $ifactors $vlcontinuous, rseed(1234)
10-fold cross-validation with 100 lambdas ...
Grid value 1:
                  lambda = .0886291
                                      no. of nonzero coef. =
Folds: 1...5....10 CVF = 1.386903
 (output omitted)
Grid value 27:
                  lambda = .0078899
                                       no. of nonzero coef. = 87
Folds: 1...5....10 CVF = 1.103886
... cross-validation complete ... minimum found
Lasso logit model
                                             No. of obs
                                                                         914
                                             No. of covariates =
                                                                         277
                                             No. of CV folds
Selection: Cross-validation
                                                                          10
                                           No. of
                                                       Out-of-
                                          nonzero
                                                       sample
                                                                    CV mean
      ID
               Description
                                lambda
                                            coef.
                                                    dev. ratio
                                                                    deviance
              first lambda
                                                       -0.0004
                                                                    1.386903
       1
                               .0886291
                                               0
      23
             lambda before
                                                        0.2102
                                                                    1.094953
                               .0114469
                                               68
      24
           selected lambda
                                 .01043
                                               76
                                                        0.2103
                                                                    1.09471
      25
              lambda after
                               .0095034
                                               79
                                                        0.2091
                                                                    1.096417
               last lambda
                                               87
      27
                               .0078899
                                                        0.2037
                                                                    1.103886
```

The default lassoknots gives a table that is the same as that for a linear model, except that instead of CV mean-prediction error, CV mean deviance is shown. The CV function for logit (and probit and Poisson) is the CV mean deviance.

#### . lassoknots

ID	lambda	No. of nonzero coef.	CV mean deviance	Variables (A)dded, (R)emoved, or left (U)nchanged
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	.0807555 .0735814 .0670447 .0610886 .0556616 .0507168 .0462113	3 5 8 9 12 14	1.38295 1.37237 1.357427 1.33969 1.319525 1.299571 1.279802	A 0.q90 2.q134 0.q142 A 0.q8 q53 A 0.q68 0.q77 q22 A 0.q46 A 0.q13 2.q16 2.q95 A 1.q84 q20 A 0.q29 0.q133 0.q140 1.q144
(output	omitted)			-
23 * 24	.0114469 .01043	68 76	1.094953 1.09471	A 0.q26 0.q73 0.q118 A 0.q4 q1 0.q50 2.q65 3.q65 0.q83 q24 1.q155
25 26	.0095034 .0086591	79 83	1.096417 1.09945	A q76 0.q108 0.q122 A 2.q6 0.q64 0.q100 q132
27	.0078899	87	1.103886	A 0.q58 0.q74 0.q113 q103

<sup>\*</sup> lambda selected by cross-validation.

<sup>\*</sup> lambda selected by cross-validation.

4

We can look at in-sample CV deviance ratio and estimates of out-of-sample CV deviance ratio. These are analogous to the linear in-sample  $R^2$  and out-of-sample  $R^2$ . The in-sample CV deviance ratio is always between 0 and 1. The estimates of out-of-sample CV deviance ratio are usually, but not always, between 0 and 1.

. lassoknots, display(cvdevratio devratio bic)

ID	lambda	Out-of- sample dev. ratio	In-sample deviance ratio	BIC
2	.0807555	0.0024	0.0057	1287.176
3	.0735814	0.0100	0.0180	1285.111
4	.0670447	0.0208	0.0323	1287.477
5	.0610886	0.0336	0.0488	1273.364
6	.0556616	0.0482	0.0657	1272.417
7	.0507168	0.0626	0.0835	1263.5
8	.0462113	0.0768	0.1022	1267.165
(output	omitted)			
23	.0114469	0.2102	0.3209	1330.907
* 24	.01043	0.2103	0.3297	1374.27
25	.0095034	0.2091	0.3379	1384.306
26	.0086591	0.2069	0.3461	1401.188
27	.0078899	0.2037	0.3535	1419.149

<sup>\*</sup> lambda selected by cross-validation.

## Example 3: dsregress

We load the data used in [LASSO] lasso examples. See that entry for details about the data.

```
. use https://www.stata-press.com/data/r19/fakesurvey_vl, clear
(Fictitious survey data with vl)
. vl rebuild
Rebuilding v1 macros ...
 (output omitted)
```

We are going to fit a dsregress model with q104 as our dependent variable and variables of interest q41 and q22. These variables of interest are currently in the variable lists factors and vlcontinuous, which we will use to specify the control variables. So we need to move them out of these variable lists.

```
. vl modify factors = factors - (q41)
note: 1 variable removed from $factors.
. vl move (q22) vlother
note: 1 variable specified and 1 variable moved.
 (output omitted)
. vl rebuild
Rebuilding vl macros ...
 (output omitted)
```

After we moved the variables out of the variable lists, we typed v1 rebuild to update the variable list ifactors created from factors. See [D] vl for details.

We fit our dsregress model using the default plugin selection method.

. dsregress q104 i.q41 q22, controls((\$idemographics) \$ifactors \$vlcontinuous)

Estimating lasso for q104 using plugin Estimating lasso for 1bn.q41 using plugin Estimating lasso for q22 using plugin

Double-selection linear model

Number of obs 914 Number of controls 274 Number of selected controls = 33 Wald chi2(2) 18.72 Prob > chi2 0.0001

q104	Coefficient	Robust std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
q41 Yes q22	.8410538 0878443	.2691082 .0310435	3.13 -2.83	0.002 0.005	.3136114 1486884	1.368496 0270001

Note: Chi-squared test is a Wald test of the coefficients of the variables of interest jointly equal to zero. Lassos select controls for model estimation. Type lassoinfo to see number of selected variables in each lasso.

lassoinfo shows the lassos that dsregress fit.

. lassoinfo

Estimate: active Command: dsregress

Variable	Model	Selection method	lambda	No. of selected variables
q104	linear	plugin	.1467287	18
1bn.q41	linear	plugin	.1467287	16
q22	linear	plugin	.1467287	15

The knot table for the lasso for the dependent variable q104 can be seen using the for (q104) option. We also show BIC and in-sample  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

. lassoknots, display(nonzero r2 bic) for(q104)

ID	lambda		In-sample R-squared	BIC
* 1	.1467287	14	0.1623	5191.862

<sup>\*</sup> lambda selected by plugin assuming heteroskedastic errors.

A lasso fit with plugin fits only one model for one  $\lambda$ . So that is all we get from lassoknots.

If we wanted to see the same table for the variable of interest i.q41, we would type

. lassoknots, display(nonzero r2 bic) for(1bn.q41)

In the for () option, we specify the variable name for the lasso exactly as it is shown in lassoinfo.

We run dsregress again, this time specifying selection(cv).

- . dsregress q104 i.q41 q22,
- > controls((\$idemographics) \$ifactors \$vlcontinuous)
- > selection(cv) rseed(1234)

Estimating lasso for q104 using cv Estimating lasso for 1bn.q41 using cv Estimating lasso for q22 using cv

Double-selection linear model

Number of obs 914 Number of controls 274 Number of selected controls = 123 Wald chi2(2) 10.96 Prob > chi2 0.0042

q104	Coefficient	Robust std. err.	z	P> z	[95% conf.	interval]
q41 Yes q22	.6003918 0681067	.2848483	2.11 -2.22	0.035 0.026	.0420994 1281246	1.158684 0080888

Note: Chi-squared test is a Wald test of the coefficients of the variables of interest jointly equal to zero. Lassos select controls for model estimation. Type lassoinfo to see number of selected variables in each lasso.

lassoknots now shows knots up to the minimum and slightly passed it.

. lassoknots, display(nonzero cvmpe osr2) for(q104)

		No. of	CV mean	Out-of-	
		nonzero	pred.	sample	
ID	lambda	coef.	error	R-squared	
1	.864369	4	17.9727	0.0187	
2	.7875809	6	17.88282	0.0236	
3	.7176144	7	17.64713	0.0365	
4	.6538635	8	17.32777	0.0539	
5	.595776	12	16.87904	0.0784	
6	.5428489	14	16.3203	0.1089	
7	.4946237	15	15.74852	0.1401	
8	.4506827	18	15.2143	0.1693	
(output omitted)					
22	.1225221	52	12.02453	0.3435	
* 23	.1116376	59	12.02148	0.3436	
24	.10172	62	12.02571	0.3434	
25	.0926835	71	12.03785	0.3427	
26	.0844497	76	12.0626	0.3414	
27	.0769474	80	12.09713	0.3395	
27	.0769474	80	12.09713	0.3395	

<sup>\*</sup> lambda selected by cross-validation.

For a sensitivity analysis that uses lassoselect after lassoknots, see [LASSO] lassoselect.

## Stored results

lassoknots stores the following in r():

Matrices

r(table)

matrix containing the values displayed

## Methods and formulas

Methods and formulas are presented under the following headings:

Overview

Statistics that measure the size of the coefficient vector

Statistics that measure fit

CV measures of fit

Single-sample measures of fit

Deviance formulas

Saturated log likelihood

Prediction error formulas

BIC formula

## Overview

All the reported statistics depend on the p-dimensional coefficient vector  $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\lambda}$ , which is the penalized estimate of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  for given penalty value  $\lambda$ .

We present the formulas in the context of lasso, but formulas for elasticnet and sqrtlasso are the same, although the context would have some subtle differences that we can safely ignore.

## Statistics that measure the size of the coefficient vector

Option display (nonzero) displays the number of nonzero coefficients, which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{nonzero} &= \sum_{j=1}^p d_j \\ d_j &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \hat{\beta}_{\lambda,j} \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Option display (11) displays the sum of the absolute values of the coefficients, which is known as the  $\ell_1$ -norm:

11 = 
$$\sum_{j=1}^p |\hat{eta}_{\lambda,j}|$$

Option display (12) displays the sum of the squared values of the coefficients, which is the square of the  $\ell_2$ -norm:

$$\mathbf{12} = \sum_{i=1}^p \hat{\beta}_{\lambda,j}^{\,2}$$

#### Statistics that measure fit

All statistics that measure fit are functions of the observation-level contributions of either the squared prediction error, spe, or the log likelihood,  $\ell_i$ .

The contribution of observation i to a statistic can be calculated using a single-sample calculation or using CV. The CV version estimates the out-of-sample equivalent. The single-sample versions are in-sample measures that do not reliably estimate their out-of-sample equivalents.

#### CV measures of fit

When CV is performed, CV versions of spe, and  $\ell_i$  are available. Here is how we compute these observation-level quantities.

- 1. The data are partitioned into K folds.
- 2. For each value of  $\lambda$ ,
  - a. the coefficients are estimated on the observations not in fold k using  $\lambda$ .
  - b. for each observation i in fold k, the fit measures spe, and  $\ell_i$  are computed using the penalized coefficient estimates.

## Single-sample measures of fit

The single-sample measures of fit are computed as follows.

- 1. For each value of  $\lambda$ ,
  - a. the coefficients are estimated on all the observations using  $\lambda$ .
  - b. for each observation i the fit measures spe, and  $\ell_i$  are computed using the penalized coefficient estimates.

#### **Deviance formulas**

The CV version of  $\ell_i$  is used in the formulas for cvmd and cvdevratio. The single-sample version of  $\ell_i$  is used in the formula for devratio.

For all models, the deviance,  $D_i$ , for the *i*th observation is given by

$$D_i = -2(\ell_i - \ell_{\rm saturated})$$

where  $\ell_i$  is the value of the log-likelihood function at observation i, and  $\ell_{\text{saturated}}$  is the value of the saturated log-likelihood function. Formulas for the  $\ell_i$  and for the  $\ell_{ ext{saturated}}$  are given below. The penalized coefficient estimates are used in these computations.

The mean deviance  $\overline{D}$  is given by

$$\overline{D} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} D_i$$

The formula for the deviance ratio  $D_2$  is

$$D_2 = 1 - \frac{\overline{D}}{D_{\text{matt}}}$$

where the  $D_{\text{null}}$  is the null deviance and is given by

$$D_{\mathrm{null}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} -2(\ell_{0,i} - \ell_{\mathrm{saturated}})$$

and  $\ell_{0,i}$  is the ith observation of the log likelihood from the model that includes only a constant term.

## Saturated log likelihood

For linear, logit, and probit models, the log-likelihood function of the saturated model is zero. For the Poisson model,

$$\ell_{\text{saturated}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (-y_i + y_i \, \ln y_i)$$

For the Cox model,

$$\ell_{\text{saturated}} = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N_f} d_j \log \left(d_j\right)$$

where j indexes the ordered failure times  $t_{(j)}, j = 1, \dots, N_f$ ;  $D_j$  is the set of observations that fail at  $t_{(j)}$ ; and  $d_j$  is the number of observations in  $D_j$ .

#### Prediction error formulas

These formulas are used only for linear models. The squared prediction error for the ith observation is given by

$$\operatorname{spe}_{i} = \left(y_{i} - \mathbf{x}_{i}\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\lambda}\right)^{2}$$

where  $y_i$  is the *i*th observation of the dependent variable and  $\mathbf{x}_i \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\lambda}$  is the predicted mean of  $y_i$  conditional on  $\mathbf{x}_i$ .

For cvmpe and osr2, the CV version of spe, is used. For r2, the single-sample version of spe, is used.

 $R^2$  is given by

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{\text{MSE}}{\text{MSE}_{\text{null}}}$$

where the mean squared error (MSE) is given by

$$\text{MSE} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \text{spe}_i$$

and the MSE of the null model is given by

$$\mathrm{MSE}_{\mathrm{null}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \overline{y})^2$$

where  $\overline{y}$  is the sample average of y.

#### **BIC** formula

BIC is given by

$$BIC = -2\ell + k \ln N$$

where  $\ell = \sum_{i=1}^N \ell_i$ , k = nonzero + 1 is the number of coefficients in the model including the constant term, and each  $\ell_i$  is always calculated using the single-sample methods.

## References

Hastie, T. J., R. J. Tibshirani, and J. H. Friedman. 2009. The Elements of Statistical Learning: Data Mining, Inference, and Prediction. 2nd ed. New York: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-84858-7.

Zhang, Y., R. Li, and C.-L. Tsai. 2010. Regularization parameter selections via generalized information criterion. Journal of the American Statistical Association 105: 312–323. https://doi.org/10.1198/jasa.2009.tm08013.

## Also see

[LASSO] lasso — Lasso for prediction and model selection

[LASSO] lasso fitting — The process (in a nutshell) of fitting lasso models

[LASSO] lassocoef — Display coefficients after lasso estimation results

[LASSO] lassoselect — Select lambda after lasso

[LASSO] lasso inference postestimation — Postestimation tools for lasso inferential models

[CAUSAL] telasso postestimation — Postestimation tools for telasso

Stata, Stata Press, Mata, NetCourse, and NetCourseNow are registered trademarks of StataCorp LLC. Stata and Stata Press are registered trademarks with the World Intellectual Property Organization of the United Nations. StataNow is a trademark of StataCorp LLC. Other brand and product names are registered trademarks or trademarks of their respective companies. Copyright © 1985–2025 StataCorp LLC, College Station, TX, USA. All rights reserved.



For suggested citations, see the FAQ on citing Stata documentation.