

irt pcm — Partial credit model[Description](#)
[Options](#)
[References](#)[Quick start](#)
[Remarks and examples](#)
[Also see](#)[Menu](#)
[Stored results](#)[Syntax](#)
[Methods and formulas](#)

Description

`irt pcm` fits partial credit models (PCMs) to ordinal items. In the PCM, items vary in their difficulty but share the same discrimination parameter.

`irt gpcm` fits generalized partial credit models (GPCMs) to ordinal items. In the GPCM, items vary in their difficulty and discrimination.

Quick start

PCM for ordinal items o1 to o5

```
irt pcm o1-o5
```

Plot CCCs for o1

```
irtgraph icc o1
```

Menu

Statistics > IRT (item response theory)

Syntax

Partial credit model

```
irt pcm varlist [if] [in] [weight] [, options]
```

Generalized partial credit model

```
irt gpcm varlist [if] [in] [weight] [, options]
```

options

Description

| | |
|--|--|
| Model | |
| <u>listwise</u> | drop observations with any missing items |
| SE/Robust | |
| vce(<i>vcetype</i>) | <i>vcetype</i> may be oim, <u>robust</u> , <u>cluster</u> <i>clustvar</i> , <u>bootstrap</u> , or <u>jackknife</u> |
| Reporting | |
| <u>level</u> (#) | set confidence level; default is level(95) |
| <u>notable</u> | suppress coefficient table |
| <u>noheader</u> | suppress output header |
| <u>display_options</u> | control columns and column formats |
| Integration | |
| <u>intmethod</u> (<i>intmethod</i>) | integration method |
| <u>intpoints</u> (#) | set the number of integration points; default is intpoints(7) |
| Maximization | |
| <u>maximize_options</u> | control the maximization process; seldom used |
| <u>startvalues</u> (<i>svmethod</i>) | method for obtaining starting values |
| <u>noestimate</u> | do not fit the model; show starting values instead |
| <u>dnumerical</u> | use numerical derivative techniques |
| <u>coeflegend</u> | display legend instead of statistics |

intmethod

Description

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| <u>mvaghermite</u> | mean–variance adaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature; the default |
| <u>mcaghermite</u> | mode-curvature adaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature |
| <u>ghermite</u> | nonadaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature |

bootstrap, by, jackknife, statsby, and svy are allowed; see [U] 11.1.10 Prefix commands.

Weights are not allowed with the bootstrap prefix; see [R] bootstrap.

vce() and weights are not allowed with the svy prefix; see [SVY] svy.

fweights, iweights, and pweights are allowed; see [U] 11.1.6 weight.

startvalues(), noestimate, dnumerical, and coeflegend do not appear in the dialog box.

See [U] 20 Estimation and postestimation commands for more capabilities of estimation commands.

Options

Model

`listwise` handles missing values through listwise deletion, which means that the entire observation is omitted from the estimation sample if any of the items are missing for that observation. By default, all nonmissing items in an observation are included in the likelihood calculation; only missing items are excluded.

SE/Robust

`vce(vcetype)` specifies the type of standard error reported, which includes types that are derived from asymptotic theory (`oim`), that are robust to some kinds of misspecification (`robust`), that allow for intragroup correlation (`cluster clustvar`), and that use bootstrap or jackknife methods (`bootstrap`, `jackknife`); see [R] [vce_option](#).

Reporting

`level(#)`; see [R] [estimation options](#).

`notable` suppresses the estimation table, either at estimation or upon replay.

`noheader` suppresses the output header, either at estimation or upon replay.

`display_options`: `noci`, `nopvalues`, `cformat(%fmt)`, `pformat(%fmt)`, `sformat(%fmt)`, and `nolstretch`; see [R] [estimation options](#).

Integration

`intmethod(intmethod)` specifies the integration method to be used for computing the log likelihood. `mvaghermite` performs mean and variance adaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature; `mcaghermite` performs mode and curvature adaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature; and `ghermite` performs non-adaptive Gauss–Hermite quadrature.

The default integration method is `mvaghermite`.

`intpoints(#)` sets the number of integration points for quadrature. The default is `intpoints(7)`, which means that seven quadrature points are used to compute the log likelihood.

The more integration points, the more accurate the approximation to the log likelihood. However, computation time increases with the number of integration points.

Maximization

`maximize_options`: `difficult`, `technique(algorithm_spec)`, `iterate(#)`, `[no]log`, `trace`, `gradient`, `showstep`, `hessian`, `showtolerance`, `tolerance(#)`, `ltolerance(#)`, `nrtolerance(#)`, `nonrtolerance`, and `from(init_specs)`; see [R] [maximize](#). Those that require special mention for `irt` are listed below.

`from()` accepts a properly labeled vector of initial values or a list of coefficient names with values. A list of values is not allowed.

The following options are available with `irt` but are not shown in the dialog box:

`startvalues()` specifies how starting values are to be computed. Starting values specified in `from()` override the computed starting values.

`startvalues(zero)` specifies that all starting values be set to 0. This option is typically useful only when specified with the `from()` option.

`startvalues(constantly)` builds on `startvalues(zero)` by fitting a constant-only model for each response to obtain estimates of intercept and cutpoint parameters.

`startvalues(fixedonly)` builds on `startvalues(constantly)` by fitting a full fixed-effects model for each response variable to obtain estimates of coefficients along with intercept and cutpoint parameters. You can also add suboption `iterate(#)` to limit the number of iterations `irt` allows for fitting the fixed-effects model.

`startvalues(ivloadings)` builds on `startvalues(fixedonly)` by using instrumental-variable methods with the generalized residuals from the fixed-effects models to compute starting values for latent-variable loadings. This is the default behavior.

`noestimate` specifies that the model is not to be fit. Instead, starting values are to be shown (as modified by the above options if modifications were made), and they are to be shown using the `coeflegend` style of output. An important use of this option is before you have modified starting values at all; you can type the following:

```
. irt ..., ... noestimate
. matrix b = e(b)
. ... (modify elements of b) ...
. irt ..., ... from(b)
```

`dnnumerical` specifies that during optimization, the gradient vector and Hessian matrix be computed using numerical techniques instead of analytical formulas. By default, `irt` uses analytical formulas for computing the gradient and Hessian for all integration methods.

`coeflegend`; see [R] [estimation options](#).

Remarks and examples

[stata.com](http://www.stata.com)

The following discussion is about how to use `irt` to fit PCMs and GPCMs to ordinal items. If you are new to the IRT features in Stata, we encourage you to read [IRT] [irt](#) first.

The PCM is used for ordered categorical responses. An item scored $0, 1, \dots, K$ is divided into K adjacent logits, and a positive response in category k implies a positive response to the categories preceding category k .

The probability of person j scoring in category k on item i is

$$\Pr(Y_{ij} = k | \theta_j) = \frac{\exp\{\sum_{t=1}^k a(\theta_j - b_{it})\}}{1 + \sum_{s=1}^K \exp\{\sum_{t=1}^s a(\theta_j - b_{it})\}} \quad \theta_j \sim N(0, 1)$$

where a represents the discrimination common to all items, b_{it} represents the difficulty that distinguishes outcome t from the other outcomes in item i , and θ_j is the latent trait of person j .

In a GPCM, each item has its own discrimination parameter.

The PCM was proposed by [Masters \(1982\)](#). The GPCM was proposed by [Muraki \(1992\)](#).

► Example 1: Fitting a PCM

To illustrate the PCM, we use the analogical reasoning data from [de Ayala \(2009\)](#). `alike.dta` contains eight questions, `v1` through `v8`, that ask how two things are alike, for example, “In what way are a dog and a lion alike?” Each response is graded as 0 (incorrect), 1 (partially correct), and 2 (correct). Here we list the first five observations.

```
. use http://www.stata-press.com/data/r15/alike  
(Analogical reasoning data from de Ayala (2009))  
. list in 1/5, nolabel
```

| | v1 | v2 | v3 | v4 | v5 | v6 | v7 | v8 |
|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 1. | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 3. | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 4. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

Looking across the first row, we see that the first respondent correctly solved items v1 and v2 and was incorrect on the remaining items.

We fit a PCM as follows:

```
. irt pcm v1-v8
```

```
Fitting fixed-effects model:
```

```
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -20869.947
```

```
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -20869.947
```

```
Fitting full model:
```

```
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -20048.975
```

```
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -19814.317
```

```
Iteration 2: log likelihood = -19678.395
```

```
Iteration 3: log likelihood = -19678.271
```

```
Iteration 4: log likelihood = -19678.271
```

```
Partial credit model
```

```
Number of obs = 2,941
```


```
Log likelihood = -19678.271
```

| | Coef. | Std. Err. | z | P> z | [95% Conf. Interval] | |
|---------|-----------|-----------|--------|-------|----------------------|-----------|
| Discrim | .8375472 | .0194059 | 43.16 | 0.000 | .7995124 | .875582 |
| v1 | | | | | | |
| Diff | | | | | | |
| 1 vs 0 | -1.546962 | .1128848 | -13.70 | 0.000 | -1.768212 | -1.325712 |
| 2 vs 1 | -2.463391 | .0900475 | -27.36 | 0.000 | -2.639881 | -2.286902 |
| v2 | | | | | | |
| Diff | | | | | | |
| 1 vs 0 | -.508318 | .0803871 | -6.32 | 0.000 | -.6658738 | -.3507622 |
| 2 vs 1 | -1.592003 | .0753361 | -21.13 | 0.000 | -1.739659 | -1.444347 |
| v3 | | | | | | |
| Diff | | | | | | |
| 1 vs 0 | -1.242774 | .0719814 | -17.27 | 0.000 | -1.383855 | -1.101694 |
| 2 vs 1 | -.2770088 | .0562749 | -4.92 | 0.000 | -.3873056 | -.166712 |
| v4 | | | | | | |
| Diff | | | | | | |
| 1 vs 0 | -.3337874 | .0580143 | -5.75 | 0.000 | -.4474934 | -.2200814 |
| 2 vs 1 | .7146057 | .0614175 | 11.64 | 0.000 | .5942296 | .8349819 |
| v5 | | | | | | |
| Diff | | | | | | |
| 1 vs 0 | 1.89372 | .0969163 | 19.54 | 0.000 | 1.703768 | 2.083672 |
| 2 vs 1 | -1.454011 | .0955847 | -15.21 | 0.000 | -1.641353 | -1.266668 |
| v6 | | | | | | |
| Diff | | | | | | |
| 1 vs 0 | -.2165156 | .052177 | -4.15 | 0.000 | -.3187806 | -.1142506 |
| 2 vs 1 | 3.115386 | .1146119 | 27.18 | 0.000 | 2.89075 | 3.340021 |
| v7 | | | | | | |
| Diff | | | | | | |
| 1 vs 0 | 1.909344 | .0834947 | 22.87 | 0.000 | 1.745698 | 2.072991 |
| 2 vs 1 | -.0129814 | .0832004 | -0.16 | 0.876 | -.1760511 | .1500883 |
| v8 | | | | | | |
| Diff | | | | | | |
| 1 vs 0 | 1.514291 | .0685158 | 22.10 | 0.000 | 1.380003 | 1.64858 |
| 2 vs 1 | 1.63067 | .0933511 | 17.47 | 0.000 | 1.447705 | 1.813635 |

The difficulties represent a point at which the two adjacent categories are equally likely. For item v4, a person with $\theta = -0.33$ is equally likely to answer incorrectly or to answer partially correct (labeled 1 vs 0). A person with $\theta = 0.71$ is equally likely to be partially correct or to be correct (labeled 2 vs 1).


We can present this graphically using CCCs. The curves trace the probability of choosing each category as a function of θ using the estimated PCM parameters. Here we plot the probabilities for item v4 using `irtgraph icc`; see [IRT] [irtgraph icc](#) for details.

```
. irtgraph icc v4, xlabel(-4 -.33 .71 4, grid)
```



While the PCM is intended for items having ordered categorical responses, the model is parameterized as if the outcomes were nominal. Therefore, the difficulty parameters for a given item are not necessarily in an increasing order. For example, for item v2, the second difficulty parameter is -1.59 and is smaller than the first difficulty parameter, -0.51 . This is called a reversal and indicates that the category with the reversed threshold is dominated by the other two categories. Here we show this situation graphically.

```
. irtgraph icc v2, xlabel(-4 -.51 -1.59 4, grid)
```



Notice that the probability of responding with a partially correct answer is never greater than both the probability of responding incorrectly and the probability of responding correctly. A reversal of the thresholds may indicate a potential problem with the item or with how raters graded the responses to the item. In our case, item v2 is primarily behaving like a binary item.



Stored results

irt pcm and irt gpcm store the following in `e()`:

Scalars

| | |
|---------------------------|--|
| <code>e(N)</code> | number of observations |
| <code>e(k)</code> | number of parameters |
| <code>e(k_eq)</code> | number of equations in <code>e(b)</code> |
| <code>e(k_dv)</code> | number of dependent variables |
| <code>e(k_rc)</code> | number of covariances |
| <code>e(k_rs)</code> | number of variances |
| <code>e(irt_k_eq)</code> | number of IRT model groups |
| <code>e(k_items1)</code> | number of items in first IRT model group |
| <code>e(k_out#)</code> | number of categories for the #th item, ordinal |
| <code>e(ll)</code> | log likelihood |
| <code>e(N_clust)</code> | number of clusters |
| <code>e(n_quad)</code> | number of integration points |
| <code>e(rank)</code> | rank of <code>e(V)</code> |
| <code>e(ic)</code> | number of iterations |
| <code>e(rc)</code> | return code |
| <code>e(converged)</code> | 1 if target model converged, 0 otherwise |

Macros

| | |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| <code>e(cmd)</code> | <code>gsem</code> |
| <code>e(cmd2)</code> | <code>irt</code> |
| <code>e(cmdline)</code> | command as typed |
| <code>e(model1)</code> | <code>pcm</code> or <code>gpcm</code> |
| <code>e(items1)</code> | names of items in first IRT model group |
| <code>e(n_cuts1)</code> | numlist of cuts in first IRT model group |
| <code>e(depvar)</code> | names of all item variables |
| <code>e(wtype)</code> | weight type |
| <code>e(wexp)</code> | weight expression |
| <code>e(title)</code> | title in estimation output |
| <code>e(clustvar)</code> | name of cluster variable |
| <code>e(family#)</code> | family for the #th <i>item</i> |
| <code>e(link#)</code> | link for the #th <i>item</i> |
| <code>e(intmethod)</code> | integration method |
| <code>e(vce)</code> | <i>vcetype</i> specified in <code>vce()</code> |
| <code>e(vcetype)</code> | title used to label Std. Err. |
| <code>e(opt)</code> | type of optimization |
| <code>e(which)</code> | max or min; whether optimizer is to perform maximization or minimization |
| <code>e(method)</code> | estimation method: <code>ml</code> |
| <code>e(ml_method)</code> | type of <code>ml</code> method |
| <code>e(user)</code> | name of likelihood-evaluator program |
| <code>e(technique)</code> | maximization technique |
| <code>e(datasignature)</code> | the checksum |
| <code>e(datasignaturevars)</code> | variables used in calculation of checksum |
| <code>e(properties)</code> | <code>b V</code> |
| <code>e(estat_cmd)</code> | program used to implement <code>estat</code> |
| <code>e(predict)</code> | program used to implement <code>predict</code> |
| <code>e(covariates)</code> | list of covariates |
| <code>e(footnote)</code> | program used to implement the footnote display |

Matrices

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| e(_N) | sample size for each item |
| e(b) | coefficient vector, slope-intercept parameterization |
| e(b_pclass) | parameter class |
| e(out#) | categories for the #th item, ordinal |
| e(Cns) | constraints matrix |
| e(ilog) | iteration log (up to 20 iterations) |
| e(gradient) | gradient vector |
| e(V) | variance-covariance matrix of the estimators |
| e(V_modelbased) | model-based variance |

Functions

| | |
|-----------|-------------------------|
| e(sample) | marks estimation sample |
|-----------|-------------------------|

Methods and formulas

Let Y_{ij} represent the (yet to be observed) outcome for item i from person j . Without loss of generality, we will assume all items take on the ordered categories, $k = 0, 1, \dots, K$.

Using the IRT parameterization, we see that the probability of person j with latent trait level θ_j (the latent trait) providing response k for item i is given by

$$\Pr(Y_{ij} = k | a_i, \mathbf{b}_i, \theta_j) = \frac{\exp\{\sum_{t=1}^k a_i(\theta_j - b_{it})\}}{1 + \sum_{s=1}^K \exp\{\sum_{t=1}^s a_i(\theta_j - b_{it})\}}$$

where a_i represents the discrimination for item i , $\mathbf{b}_i = (b_{i1}, \dots, b_{iK})$ represent the difficulties that distinguish the ordered categories of item i , and it is understood that

$$\Pr(Y_{ij} = 0 | a_i, \mathbf{b}_i, \theta_j) = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{s=1}^K \exp\{\sum_{t=1}^s a_i(\theta_j - b_{it})\}}$$

irt pcm and irt gpcm fit the model using the slope-intercept form, so the probability for providing response k is parameterized as

$$\Pr(Y_{ij} = k | \alpha_i, \beta_i, \theta_j) = \frac{\exp(k\alpha_i\theta_j + \beta_{ik})}{1 + \sum_{s=1}^K \exp(s\alpha_i\theta_j + \beta_{is})}$$

The transformation between these two parameterizations is

$$a_i = \alpha_i \quad b_{ik} = -\frac{\beta_{ik} - \beta_{i,k-1}}{\alpha_i}$$

where $b_{i0} = 0$ and $\beta_{i0} = 0$. For irt pcm, the item discriminations a_i are constrained to be equal.

Let y_{ij} be the observed response for Y_{ij} and $p_{ij} = \Pr(Y_{ij} = y_{ij} | \alpha_i, \beta_i, \theta_j)$. Conditional on θ_j , the item responses are assumed to be independent, so the conditional density for person j is given by

$$f(\mathbf{y}_j | \mathbf{B}, \theta_j) = \prod_{i=1}^I p_{ij}$$

where $\mathbf{y}_j = (y_{1j}, \dots, y_{Ij})$, $\mathbf{B} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_I, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_I)$, and I is the number of items.

Missing items are skipped over in the above product by default. When the `listwise` option is specified, persons with any missing items are dropped from the estimation sample.

The likelihood for person j is computed by integrating out the latent variable from the joint density

$$L_j(\mathbf{B}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\mathbf{y}_j | \mathbf{B}, \theta_j) \phi(\theta_j) d\theta_j$$

where $\phi(\cdot)$ is the density function for the standard normal distribution. The log likelihood for the estimation sample is simply the sum of the log likelihoods from the N persons in the estimation sample.

$$\log L(\mathbf{B}) = \sum_{j=1}^N \log L_j(\mathbf{B})$$

The integral in the formula for $L_j(\mathbf{B})$ is generally not tractable, so we must use numerical methods.

Gauss–Hermite quadrature and adaptive quadrature are documented in [Methods and formulas of \[IRT\] irt hybrid](#).

References

- de Ayala, R. J. 2009. *The Theory and Practice of Item Response Theory*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Hamel, J.-F., G. Challet-Bouju, V. Sébille, and J.-B. Hardouin. 2016. [Partial credit model: Estimations and tests of fit with pcm](#). *Stata Journal* 16: 464–481.
- Masters, G. N. 1982. A Rasch model for partial credit scoring. *Psychometrika* 47: 149–174.
- Muraki, E. 1992. A generalized partial credit model: Application of an EM algorithm. *Applied Psychological Measurement* 16: 159–176.

Also see

- [IRT] [irt pcm postestimation](#) — Postestimation tools for irt pcm
- [IRT] [irt](#) — Introduction to IRT models
- [IRT] [irt grm](#) — Graded response model
- [IRT] [irt rsm](#) — Rating scale model
- [SEM] [gsem](#) — Generalized structural equation model estimation command
- [SVY] [svy estimation](#) — Estimation commands for survey data
- [U] [20 Estimation and postestimation commands](#)